IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL FOURTH DISTRICT, STATE OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 4D21-____ Lower Tribunal Case No.: CACE 20-005993 (08)

CARL KENNEDY,

Petitioner,

v.

POMPANO SENIOR SQUADRON FLYING CLUB, INC.,

Respondent.

REVIEW FROM A NON-FINAL ORDER of the Circuit Court of the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit In and For Broward County, Florida

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Filed by Petitioner

Ron Renzy
Florida Bar No.: 0958610
WALLBERG & RENZY, P.A.
10100 West Sample Road, 3d FL
Coral Springs, Florida 33065
Tel: (954) 757-1212

Service: service@wallberg-renzy.com Secondary Service: ron.renzy@wallberg-renzy.com

Counsel for Petitioner

INDEX

Certificate of Service	3
Complaint April 7, 2020	4
Motion to Disqualify July 27, 2021	10
Transcript of Proceeding July 8, 2021	38
Order of Disqualification July 9, 2021	90

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

WE HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of this Petition has been e-filed via Florida e-portal to the following individual on the same date as the Petition for Writ of Certorari;

EDWARD F. HOLODAK, ESQUIRE Edward F. Holodak, P.A 7951 S.W. 6th Street Suite 210 Plantation, Florida 33324 Pleadings@holodakpa.com Attorney for Respondent

> <u>/s/ Ron Renzy</u> Ron Renzy

Case Number: CACE-20-005993 Division: 08

Filing # 105938702 E-Filed 04/07/2020 09:17:15 AM

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

POMPANO SENIOR SQUADRON FLYING CLUB, INC., a Florida corporation,

CASE NO .:

Plaintiff,

V

CARL KENNEDY, individually,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, POMPANO SENIOR SQUADRON FLYING CLUB, INC.. a Florida corporation (Plaintiff "Flying Club"), by and through its undersigned counsel, sues Defendant, CARL KENNEDY individually (Defendant "Kennedy") and says:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Plaintiff Flying Club is a Florida corporation doing business in Broward County, Florida, and is otherwise *sui juris*.
- 2. Defendant Kennedy is over the age of 18, is a resident of Broward County, Florida is a prior director of Plaintiff Flying Club and is otherwise *sui juris*.
- 3. Defendant Kennedy was an officer and director of Plaintiff Flying Club from May 22, 2014, until the beginning of 2020.
- 4. At all times relevant to the issues herein, Defendant Kennedy served as the treasurer of Plaintiff Flying Club.
- 5. During his term as treasurer, Defendant Kennedy made multiple payments from Plaintiff Flying Club's corporate bank account to pay Defendant

Kennedy's American Express credit charges.

- 6. Just prior to the date Defendant Kennedy was replaced as treasurer of Plaintiff Flying Club, Defendant Kennedy issued checks on Plaintiff Flying Club's corporate checking account to Defendant Kennedy, Wendy Hausmann, Esq., and others.
- 7. There are no corporate records, resolutions, minutes of meetings, or any other corporate document evidencing authorization for these payments made by Defendant Kennedy to himself, Attorney Hausmann and others.
- 8. On or about March 19, 2020, Plaintiff Flying Club made demand upon Defendant Kennedy for Defendant Kennedy to produce, to the corporation, all corporate records and documentation belonging to the corporation. Copy of demand letter is attached hereto as Plaintiff Flying Club's Exhibit #1. (the "Record Demand").
- Despite the Record Demand, Defendant Kennedy has failed or refused to turn over the corporate records to Plaintiff Flying Club.
- 10. Plaintiff Flying Club retained the services of Edward F. Holodak, P.A., and agreed to pay it a reasonable fee for services rendered herein.
- 11. All conditions precedent to bringing this action have been satisfied or waived.

COUNT I ACCOUNTING

- 12. Plaintiff Flying Club realleges the General Allegations and incorporates them herein by reference as if pled herein specifically.
 - 13. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter herein.

- 14. As a prior director and officer of Plaintiff Flying Club, Defendant Kennedy, especially as treasurer, was in a fiduciary relationship to Plaintiff Flying Club.
- 15. Due to the scarcity of records left behind by Defendant Kennedy and the remaining members of the Board of Directors of Plaintiff Flying Club, Plaintiff Flying Club cannot accurately reconstruct its financial status and records.
- 16. Defendant Kennedy authorized various payments as treasurer of Plaintiff Flying Club, which such payments Plaintiff Flying Club cannot reasonably ascertain as to whether they were valid expenses of Plaintiff Flying Club or Defendant Kennedy's own personal expenses.
 - 17. Plaintiff Flying Club has no adequate remedy at law.
 - 18. Defendant Kennedy breached his duty to Plaintiff Flying Club by:
 - a. failing to keep proper records;
 - failing to deliver the Plaintiff Flying Club's financial records to it at the end of his term as treasurer;
 - c. appropriating funds for non-properly authorized expenses;
 - d. using Plaintiff Flying Club's money to make payments on Defendant Kennedy's personal American Express card.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Flying Club demands an accounting from Defendant Kennedy, an award of court costs and attorney fees, and any other relief that this Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT II INJUNCTION

- 19. Plaintiff Flying Club realleges the General Allegations and incorporates them herein by reference as if pled herein specifically.
 - 20. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter herein.
- 21. Defendant Kennedy, as the prior treasurer and director of Plaintiff Flying Club, had control of certain financial records and documents which belong to Plaintiff Flying Club.
- 22. Despite the Record Demand, Defendant Kennedy has failed or refused to turn over Plaintiff Flying Club's corporate records.
- 23. Upon the expiration of his term as treasurer and director of Plaintiff Flying Club, Defendant Kennedy has no legal right to retain the corporate records of Plaintiff Flying Club.
- 24. Plaintiff Flying Club requires its corporate records in order to legally and effectively continue its operations.
- 25. Without having its complete and accurate corporate records, Plaintiff Flying Club is suffering irreparable harm in that it cannot adequately operate, account for past transactions, and know the actions of the prior Board of Directors of Plaintiff Flying Club.
 - 26. Plaintiff Flying Club has no adequate remedy at law.
- 27. It is the public policy of the State of Florida to enforce its statutes, including the Florida Corporation Act.
- 28. Chapter 607.1601 et. seq., requires a corporation to maintain certain corporate records, to make such corporate records available for inspection by a

shareholder and director.

29. Accordingly, Plaintiff Flying Club is required by Florida law to maintain

such records that are now in the possession and control of Defendant Kennedy

but which ultimately belong to Plaintiff Flying Club.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Flying Club moves this Honorable Court for an

injunction requiring Defendant Kennedy to return all corporate records in his

possession or control to Plaintiff Flying Club, an award of its court costs and

attorney fees, and any other relief that this Court deems just and equitable.

/s/ Edward F. Holodak

EDWARD F. HOLODAK, ESQ.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Florida Bar # 059234

EDWARD F. HOLODAK, P.A. 7951 SW 6th Street, Suite 210

Plantation, FL 33324

Telephone: (954) 927-3436

pleadings@holodakpa.com

LAW OFFICES OF EDWARD F. HOLODAK, P.A.



EDWARD F. HOLODAK, Esq. Admitted in Florida and Washington, D.C.

Lawrence E. Blacke, Esq. Of Counsel Admitted in Florida and Massachusetts

7951 SW 6th Street Suite 210 Plantation, FL 33324 954-927-3436

3326 NE 33rd Street Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33308 954-566-5070

This Firm Acts as a Debt Collector

<u>Edward@holodakpa.com</u>

<u>www.browardbusinesslawyers.com</u>

March 19, 2020

Via Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested 7018 0040 0000 2050 3342 and Regular U.S. Mail

Carl Kennedy 2929 S. Ocean Blvd., Suite 510 Boca Raton, FL 33432

Re: Pompano Senior Squadron Flying Club, Inc.

Dear Mr. Kennedy:

The new Board of Directors of Pompano Senior Squadron Flying Club, Inc. d/b/a Pompano Beach Flying Club retained this law firm as the Corporation's counsel. As you know, you are no longer a member of the Board of Directors of the Corporation, nor are you any longer the treasurer. Accordingly, the new Board of Directors demands that within five (5) days of receipt of this letter, you immediately transfer all documentation belonging to the Corporation to me. This includes all check books, financial statements, accounting records, financial records, bank statements, insurance policies. membership lists, stock certificates, correspondences to and from the Corporation to any third party, minutes of all Board of Directors meetings, minutes of all shareholders meetings, any and all shareholder agreements, the Corporation by-laws, the Articles of Incorporation, and all books and records of the Corporation. As a former Board member, you no longer have any legal right to retain the above documents. Accordingly, if you fail to comply with this demand, the Board has authorized me to take all legal actions necessary against you to obtain these documents.

Thank you for your anticipated cooperation with the above demand. I remain,

Very truly yours,

Edward F. Holodak

Edward F. Holodak Attorney at Law

EFH/tp

Exhibit # 1

POMPANO SENIOR SQUADRON FLYING CLUB, INC., a Florida corporation,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR ROWARD COUNTY FLORIDA

CASE NO.:CACE 20-005993 (08)

Plaintiff,
v
CARL KENNEDY, individually,
Defendant.

VERIFIED MOTION TO DISQUALIFY COUNSEL PURSUANT TO FLORIDA BAR RULE 4-1.9

Plaintiff, POMPANO SENIOR SQUADRON FLYING CLUB, INC. (Plaintiff "Club"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this Motion to Disqualify Wendy Hausmann, Esq. as counsel for Defendant Carl Kennedy (Defendant "Kennedy"), pursuant to Rule 401.9 Florida Rules Regulating the Florida Bar and Florida law and says:

- 1. Plaintiff Club filed suit against Defendant Kennedy seeking an accounting from its former treasurer and as importantly for purposes of this motion, seeking information regarding an alleged loan from Wendy Hausmann, Esq., to the Club and payments made to Ms. Hausmann.
- 2. It is the Club's assertion that Ms. Hausmann was Plaintiff Club's attorney and was Plaintiff Club's attorney during the time she made the alleged loan to Plaintiff Club.
- 3. Plaintiff Club needs the accounting from Defendant Kennedy because there is no promissory note, no documentation from Ms. Hausmann to Plaintiff Club, as

required by the Florida Bar as Ms. Hausmann was Plaintiff Club's attorney at the time.

- 4. In response to the lawsuit, Ms. Hausmann has:
- a. Filed a Notice of Appearance on Defendant Kennedy's behalf in opposition to a Motion for Default;
- b. Sent numerous emails indicating that she fully intends to represent Mr. Kennedy;
- c. In response to a Court Order that the parties participate in mediation, sent emails indicating she would represent Defendant Kennedy during the mediation on the above matters. Copies of Notice and emails attached as Plaintiff Club's Composite Exhibit #1.
- 5. In support of its position that Ms. Hausmann was Plaintiff Club's attorney, Plaintiff Club attaches and incorporates herein by reference the following:
 - a. Invoices from Ms. Hausmann to the Club for professional services;
 - b. Payments from Plaintiff Club to Ms. Hausmann for those invoices;
 - c. Communications from Ms. Hausmann to members of Plaintiff Club in which she states she is representing the Club;
- d. Notices that Ms. Hausmann has amended the Club's By-Laws.

 Copies of communications attached as Plaintiff Club's Composite Exhibit #2.
- 6. Rule 4-1.9 Conflict of Interest; Former client of the Rules that Govern the Florida Bar states:

"A lawyer who has formerly represented a client in a matter must not afterwards:

(a) Represent another person in the same or a substantially related matter in which that person's interests are materially adverse to the interest of the former client unless the former client gives inform consent;

- (b) Use information relating to the representation to the disadvantage of the former client except as these rules would permit or require with respect to a client or when the information has become generally known; or
- (c) Reveal information relating to the representation except as these rules would permit or require with respect to a client.
- 7. It is Plaintiff Club's assertion that Wendy Hausmann represented the Club as its former general counsel.
- 8. The interest of Plaintiff Club and Defendant Kennedy are clearly adverse and Plaintiff Club has not given its consent to Ms. Hausmann's representation of Defendant Kennedy. See Composite Exhibit #3.
- 9. Formerly, Ms. Hausmann has represented the Club in an attempt to collect membership fees and dues from members. See copy of communications from Wendy Hausmann on behalf of the Club attached hereto as Plaintiff's Composite Exhibit #4.
- 10. Ms. Hausmann has reviewed the Club's By-Laws and made proposed changes thereto, billed the Club for such work and receive payment from the Club. See invoices and communication attached hereto as Plaintiff's Composite Exhibit #5.
- 11. Ms. Hausmann has given advise and consent to the Club relative to protecting the Club's interest against former members of the Club who have threatened suit and legal action against it. See copies of communications from Ms. Hausmann attached hereto as Plaintiff's Composite Exhibit #6.
- 12. Florida law presumes that during each of these matters of representation that confidential and privileged information was given by the former client to the former attorney. See *State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co. v. K.A.W.* 575 So.2d 630, 634 (Fla. 1991).
 - 13. In discussing the By-Laws and Amendments to By-Laws, clearly Ms.

Hausmann would have discussed with Plaintiff Club the operation of the Club, the duties and responsibilities of directors to the Club, the duties and responsibilities of members to the Club and the Club's converse duties and obligations.

- 14. The Club is now suing Defendant Kennedy, its former officer and director, regarding the former officer and director's duties and obligations to the Club including but not limited to providing financial records, providing an accounting for the financial activities that occurred during the time Defendant Kennedy was the Club's director, questions about payments made to Ms. Hausmann, questions about an alleged loan made by Ms. Hausmann to the Club with no associated promissory note, written communications from Ms. Hausmann to the Club regarding the loan and any potential conflicts of interest that arise from same as it was made during the time she represented Plaintiff Club as based upon the documents attached hereto, the terms of such loan and repayment thereof. A copy of check from Ms. Hausmann to the Club marked loan is attached hereto as Plaintiff's Exhibit #7.
- 15. Based upon the above, there is also the strong probability that Ms. Hausmann will be a material witness in that she will have to give testimony as to the alleged loan between herself and Plaintiff Club. Clearly, in addition to Rule 4-1.9 as stated above, the fact that Ms. Hausmann will be a material witness and have to give deposition testimony regarding the very issue of the litigation between Plaintiff Club and Defendant Kennedy requires Ms. Hausmann's disqualification as an attorney for Defendant Kennedy. See *Fleitman v McPherson*, 691 So.2d 37, 38 (Fla. 1st DCA 1997) (holding disqualification of attorney is warranted when the attorney becomes a central figure or indispensable witness in a case). It is abundantly clear to any reasonable person that the issues involved in Ms. Hausmann's former representation of Plaintiff

Club and the litigation between the Club and Defendant Kennedy are identical or substantially similar thus requiring her disqualification pursuant to Rule 4-1.9. See Rule 4-1.9.

- 16. Although under Florida law, whether an attorney represents a client is to be taken from the client's point of view, it is clear from Ms. Hausmann's own words, invoices, checks, and receipts of payment that Ms. Hausmann formerly represented the Club. See *Florida Bar v Dunegan*, 731 So.2d 1237 (Fla. 1999)(holding an attorney violated Bar Rules 4-1.7 and 4-1.9 when the attorney attempted to represent the husband in dissolution proceedings after formerly representing the husband and wife in matters relating to their business. The case between the Club and Carl Kennedy it tantamount to a family dissolution case in that it involves the interest of the business, the requirements of a former board of director pursuant to the By-Laws, and the financial interest of the company.
- 17. While Ms. Hausmann denies that she ever represented the Club, such denials defy logic are contrary to the attached exhibits and are contrary to Plaintiff Club's position that Ms. Hausmann was its former counsel.

Based upon the attached exhibits, Ms. Hausmann formerly represented the Club to rewrite its By-Laws, to be involved in litigation matters regarding collected monies on behalf of the Club, and in giving the Club an alleged undocumented loan. Clearly those former representations are substantially similar to the issues involved between the Club and Defendant Kennedy in this matter and as such, would require disqualification pursuant to Rule 4.1-9 as stated by the Supreme Court in *Dunegan*. See *Blamey v Menadier*, 283 So.2d 938 (Fla. 3rd DCA 2019) (holding that disqualification of attorney was justified where attorney drafted a proposed term sheet, bills for the term sheet were

paid for by the corporation and attorney did various other legal tasks for corporation prior to the suit and the lawsuit involved the term sheet). In *Blamey*, the attorney drafted a term sheet which became a subject matter of litigation between the parties. In this case, the exhibits attached to this motion evidence that Ms. Hausmann amended and rewrote the corporation's By-Laws which control the obligations of directors to the corporation. The dispute is now between the corporation and a former director regarding alleged violations of those duties and obligations.

- 18. Although Wendy Hausmann has already informed this Court that The Florida Bar is considering an Inquiry against her filed by Plaintiff Club, an actual violation of ethical rules is not a prerequisite to granting a motion for disqualifying an attorney to avoid the appearance of impropriety. See *Kenn Air Corp. v Gainesville-Alachua County Regional Airport Authority*, 593 So.2d 1219 (Fla. 1st DCA 1992). In *Kenn Air Corp.*, the corporation sought to disqualify the opposing attorney based upon the fact that the former attorney represented the corporation's prior predecessor in interest. *Id.* at 1221. In this case, Ms. Hausmann represented Plaintiff Club, not Plaintiff Club's predecessor in interest. If the court found disqualification was necessary when the attorney represented a corporation's predecessor in interest, how much more so when the attorney represented the actual corporation.
- 19. The *Kenn Air Corp*. Court went on to hold that Rule 4-1.9 prohibits an attorney from switching sides because under Rule 4-1.6, the duty of confidentiality requires all attorneys to protect all confidences and information obtained during representation of a client, and the duty continues even after the attorney/client relationship is terminated. In *Kenn Air Corp*., the attorney represented the corporation regarding the corporation's leases at the airport and an irrebuttable presumption arose

that the company had disclosed confidences to the attorney regarding leases and its operation related to leases. In this matter, based upon the exhibits attached hereto, Ms. Hausmann represented Plaintiff Club regarding the By-Laws, financial collection matters, and the interest of the company and threatened litigation by a former shareholder. The company now sues Defendant Kennedy over his duties as a director, the financial dealings of the company, and the financial interactions between Plaintiff Club and Ms. Hausmann. Just as the court found disqualification of the attorney in *Kenn Air Corp.*, this Court should also disqualify Ms. Hausmann. See *TTT Corp. v Jalis Development, Inc.*, 682 So.2d 1160 (Fla. 5th DCA 1996) (holding disqualification of attorney is warranted where attorney had gained access to corporation records and new lawsuit involved former corporate director).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Flying Club moves this Honorable Court for entry of a judicial default against Defendant Kennedy for failure to file a responsive pleading, and any other relief that this Court deems just and equitable.

GREGORY CILHOOLY

as identification and who did take an oath.

as identification and who did take an oat

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: 7-4-2024

> _/s/Edward F. Holodak_ EDWARD F. HOLODAK, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff Fla. Bar No.: 059234 Edward F. Holodak, P.A. 7951 SW 6th Street, Ste. 210 Plantation, FL 33324 Tel.: 954-927-3436 pleadings@holodakpa.com

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 17th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

POMPANO SENIOR SQUADRON FLYING CLUB, INC. (aka POMPANO BEACH FLYING CLUB)

Plaintiff,

and

Case No.: CACE 20-005993

Division (8)

CARL L. KENNEDY, II

Defendant.

NOTICE OF LIMITED APPEARANCE

Wendy A. Hausmann, Esquire, hereby files this Notice of Limited Appearance on behalf of Carl L. Kennedy, II, Defendant, for the limited purpose of the hearing scheduled for 8:45 a.m. on July 14, 2020 to represent Mr. Kennedy in connection with his Second Motion for Extension of Time Due to Plaintiff's Knowing and Intentional Interference with Defendant's Ability to Obtain Counsel, as well as Plaintiff's Opposition thereto and Motion for Judicial Default.

Copies of all court papers in connection with these issue(s) should be served to the undersigned attorney, as well as to Mr. Kennedy, at the addresses listed below.

I hereby certify that on the 14th day of July, 2020, I served a copy of this document via the Florida e-portal to Edward Holodak, Esquire at pleadings@holodakpa.com.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Wendy A. Hausmann Wendy A. Hausmann, Esquire 20283 State Road 7, Suite #400 Boca Raton, Florida 33498 (561) 477-5353 Fla. Bar No. 304300

E-service:

e: hausmannw@aol.com

/s/ Carl L. Kennedy, II Carl L. Kennedy, II 2929 S. Ocean Blvd., #510 Boca Raton, FL 33432 304-552-0206

E-Mail Address: clktax@aol.com

Composite Exhibit #1

From:

hausmannw@aol.com

To: Cc: Edward Holodak ciktax@aol.com

Subject:

Our telephone call after the Hearing this morning

Date:

Tuesday, July 14, 2020 11:34:59 AM

Mr. Holodak:

This will confirm that I called you almost immediately after the Hearing this morning to "play nice in the sandbox" and offered to mediate this case in the next 20 days (before Mr. Kennedy's responsive pleading is due in 30 days) rather than your client having to wait 45 days. In response, you advised me that your client "does not want to play nice in the sandbox" and objects to my accompanying Mr. Kennedy to mediation. You further advised that you would be filing a Motion to Disqualify me tomorrow and would be setting such Motion on Motion Calendar. I will object to your Motion to Disqualify me as being inappropriate for Motion Calendar, as such a Motion will clearly require testimony and other evidence. Kindly ensure that you coordinate an evidentiary hearing with both myself and Mr. Kennedy. I will have at least two (2) witnesses (in addition to Mr. Kennedy and myself) and I believe I will need 45 minutes to defend against such a Motion. If you need equal time, kindly obtain dates and times for a 90-minute hearing.

It seems antithetical to your client's alleged purpose in resolving this matter and obtaining whatever they need from Mr. Kennedy that they believe he has, to oppose my attendance at a mediation, as Mr. Kennedy would be within his rights, if he must go alone, to simply keep his mouth shut at a mediation if he is unable to have me there to participate. Is that what your client wants? To prolong this matter further? Again, this belies anything other than a witch-hunt of Carl Kennedy, rather than a legitimate business purpose.

I will await your Motion to Disqualify.

Wendy A. Hausmann

From: To: hausmannw@aol.com Edward Holodak

Subject:

PBFC - 5/13/20 Zoom Meetings

Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 11:31:29 AM

Mr. Holodak:

Although my client requested in writing of the PBFC Club Secretary, Greg Galyo (which request was copied to all Officers and Directors), that he be permitted to record the PBFC Board of Directors meeting as well as the general membership meeting beginning at 5:00 p.m. today on Zoom, as is available by the program. As of this writing he has received no response from Mr. Galyo.

Kindly advise your client to permit the recording of the Zoom meetings this evening for litigation hold purposes.

Thank you, Wendy A. Hausmann

NOTICE: This e-mail message and any attachment to this e-mail message contains confidential information that may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not review, retransmit, convert to hard copy, copy, use or disseminate this e-mail or any attachments to it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by telephone at 561.477.5353 and delete this message. Please note that if this e-mail message contains a forwarded message or is a reply to a prior message, some or all of the contents of this message or any attachments may not have been produced by THE LAW OFFICES OF WENDY A. HAUSMANN, 20283 STATE ROAD 7, SUITE 400, BOCA RATON, FL 33498, E-MAIL: hausmannw@aol.com.

Carl

----Original Message----

To: edward@holodakpa.com <edward@holodakpa.com>

Cc: clktax@aol.com <clktax@aol.com>

Sent: Fri, May 8, 2020 9:32 am

Subject: PBFC - Minutes of 5/4/20 Special Meeting

Mr. Holodak:

I have been provided a copy of the Minutes of the Special Meeting held by the PBFC Board of Directors on May 4, 2020. Unfortunately, the Minutes are inaccurate and must immediately be corrected to include the conspicuous omission of the Motion made by Robert Breeden to disavow and default on the monies owed to former members of PBFC. You see, Mr. Holodak, I was "present" for that Zoom meeting. I am a witness and will testify to Robert Breeden's Motion for attempted theft of former members monies. I personally saw his face and heard him speak the words. The omission from the Minutes is hardly inadvertent. It is reprehensible, outrageous and reveals the new Board of PBFC and its Officers to be both thieves and liars. Since I will be a witness to the events at the Special Meeting on May 4, 2020, I have already provided the contact information of a collection attorney to Carl Kennedy for dissemination to current members or former members as may be necessary or appropriate (current members are also entitled to the return of their \$1700 should they decide to withdraw from PBFC at this point as that is the contract into which they entered when they joined PBFC). My colleague will easily and without hesitation subpoena the "Zoom" records pertaining to the meeting held on May 4, 2020 to be used in any action, and of course, I can also provide him with a list of all participants at the Special Meeting.

The purpose of this email is solely to demand that your client correct the Minutes of the Special Meeting held on May 4, 2020 to accurately contain and reflect the Motion made by Robert Breeden during said meeting, as well as the immediately following response to same by the PBFC President in connection with a "non-refundable deposit", neither of which issues were noticed for this Special Meeting. Your client's underhanded, sneaky and far from transparent conduct will not be ignored or tolerated, nor will it be kept hidden or secret.

Please do not reply to this email as it will be not be read. This email is being sent solely to put you and PBFC on notice; it is not to open a dialogue between us.

Thank you, Wendy A. Hausmann

Attorney and Counselor at Law

*Member Florida and Maryland Bars

February 1, 2020

Pompano Beach Flying Club c/o Treasurer - Carl L. Kennedy 2929 S. Ocean Blvd. Unit #510 Boca Raton, FL 33432

Professional services

		Hours	Amount
1/30/20	Preparation of revisions to Corporate Bylaws; Multiple telephone conferences with Carl L. Kennedy re: same.	5.00	1,750.00
	For professional services rendered	5.00	\$1,750.00
	Balance due		\$1,750.00

Exhibit # 22

JPMorgan Chase & Co.

Post date:

02/03/2020

Amount:

\$ 1750.00

	POMPANO FLYING CLUB do CARL L. XENNEDY 2828 S. OCEAN BLVO., STE. #510 BOCA RATON, FL. 33432 004-632 0206	BANK OF AMERICA, NA 598 63/02/1/921 1/31/2020	4
PAY TO TH DAGER OF	Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty and 00/100*********	\$ "1,750,00 T3.6048/SEPANUS \$ 00LARS	
O	Wendy A. Hauemenn Attornoy Al Lew 3704 Arella Drive Detrey Beach, FL 33448	A S)
MENO	#*************************************	71: 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10]

Account:

945259596

Check Number:

5984

A 1503 AND THE STATE OF THE STA

From: Carl Kennedy < ciktax@aol.com > Date: May 10, 2020 at 7:55 PM

To: Carl Kennedy < clktax@aol.com>

Cc: Cbaker847 < cbaker847@gmail.com >, Gblohm < gblohm@runbox.com >, Borersj

<borersi@g.cofc.edu>, Kobe Rc12 < kobe.rc12@gmail.com>, Shelbychristmas

<shelbychristmas@gmail.com>, Gilc <gilc@cfnsfl.com>, Chrisdavy <chrisdavy@me.com>, Blueskydoc

<<u>blueskydoc@aol.com</u>>, Carlos Figueroa <<u>carlos a figueroa@mac.com</u>>, Eac4Me

<eac4me@gmail.com>, Tonyha81 <tonyha81@bellsouth.net>, Grantcorbett

<grantcorbett@yahoo.com>, Markjohnson75 <markjohnson75@hotmail.com>, James

<<u>iames@autobasecorp.com</u>>, John <<u>john@ewaycorp.com</u>>, Rpmagnusson <<u>rpmagnusson@me.com</u>>,

Evanairplane < evanairplane@gmail.com >, Jeff < ieff@drakealexander.com >, Inozick

<inozick@gmail.com>, Luis Ochoa <luis.m.ochoa@gmail.com>, Antoniopalazuelos

<a href="mailto:, Mjrascoe < mjrascoe@gmail.com">, Morganwuzhere

<morganwuzhere@gmail.com>, Hdossantosneto <hdossantosneto@gmail.com>, Cap9722

<app9722@gmail.com>, Nsolano66 <nsolano66@hotmail.com>, Rosstigner <rosstigner@gmail.com>,

Rolecall5 < rolecall5@gmail.com >, Sniper0910 < sniper0910@yahoo.com >, Blackbat

<<u>blackbat@bellsouth.net</u>>, Ba5852 <<u>ba5852@aol.com</u>>, Djayoub <<u>djayoub@bellsouth.net</u>>, Marc Bajaj

<marc.bajaj@gmail.com>, Martinbaybutt <martinbaybutt@aol.com>, Abiloukha

<a href="mailto:<a href="mailt

<lesliebutzer01@yahoo.com>, Dcannaro <dcannaro@hotmail.com>, Wchurchill

<wchurchill@scppartners.com>, Jamie <jamie@cliffordassociatesinc.com>, Maxcraddock

<maxcraddock@gmail.com>, Gdarrow55 <gdarrow55@gmail.com>, Johndunne3774

<<u>iohndunne3774@comcast.net</u>>, Dutranc <<u>dutranc@gmail.com</u>>, Marc

<marc@aliaircrafttraining.com>, Ggalyo <ggalyo@gmail.com>, Sgtgrg <sgtgrg@aol.com>, Justin Golda

<<u>iustin.golda@yahoo.com</u>>, Genesisproperty <<u>genesisproperty@aol.com</u>>, Matthanley123

<matthanley123@gmail.com>, Wingpropgo <wingpropgo@aol.com>, Barry Ttds

<a href="mailto:, Ghill <ghill@ourhillhouse.com">, LHill@ourhillhouse.com

< hill@ourhillhouse.com >, Torholm < torholm@gmail.com >, Markjarvis21 < markjarvis21@gmail.com >,

Seals1967 < seals1967@yahoo.com >, Carl Kennedy < clktax@aol.com >, Robbykennedy

<<u>robbykennedy@yahoo.com</u>>, J Kittay <<u>j.kittay@icloud.com</u>>, Rob <<u>rob@patriotmarinellc.com</u>>, Kevin

Maclean < kevin.maclean@nee.com>, CLKTax@aol.com < clktax@aol.com>, Matosc

<matosc@bellsouth.net>, Dsmcniel <dsmcniel@bellsouth.net>, Pilotasa <pilotasa@bellsouth.net>, Rick

<<u>rick@skydiveseb.com</u>>, Eric <<u>eric@myerscommercial.com</u>>, Paul Nudelman

<paul.nudelman@gmail.com>, J 32 < i.otero.32@gmail.com>, L Pastore < l.pastore@ops-corp.com>,

Carrisachris < carrisachris@gmail.com >, Harry < harry@realtybythebeach.com >, Apotenti

<apotenti@doublepconstruction.com>, Tgp103 <tgp103@gmail.com>, Josh Prince

<iosh prince@outlook.com>, Reischer Mark <reischer.mark@gmail.com>, Kamarsblessed

<kamarsblessed@yahoo.com>, Jrodengen <irodengen@aol.com>, Deltico1 <deltico1@gmail.com>,

Richsack < richsack@bellsouth.net >, Itel933 < itel933@netscape.net >, Sanchezpaulk

<sanchezpaulk@mac.com>, Mosart <mosart@sebben.com>, Informationbravo

<informationbravo@gmail.com</p>, Tiger <tiger@flightvelocity.com</p>, Steveswhere

<steveswhere@msn.com>, Santiago <santiago@savigroup.com>, Sterlingwelch

<sterlingwelch@yahoo.com>, Info <info@gerardwilliamslaw.com>, Gworley Crna

<gworley.crna@gmail.com>, Kergator <kergator@gmail.com>, Ayanikian <ayanikian@aol.com>,

Zworthy2 <zworthy2@juno.com>

Subject: Fwd: PBFC - Minutes of 5/4/20 Special Meeting

CumposikExhibit 34

To All,

Below is my lawyer's response to me upon her review of Greg Gilhooly's letter today to former and presumably current members.

I thought I would share.

Respectfully,

Carl Kennedy

----Original Message---To: clktax@aol.com>
Sent: Sun, May 10, 2020 3:56 pm
Subject: Re: PBFC - Minutes of 5/4/20 Special Meeting

Carl:

I have read Gilhooly's letter to the Former Members. My response is.....

hahahahahahahahahahaha if he thinks he's going to get away with that! I would argue that the provision he quotes is a *supplement to, and not an "instead of"* as he is attempting to portray. I believe the entitled return of the \$1700 to the former and current members by PBFC is solid. The audacity this new Board has in attempting to discharge its responsibilities and cast you as the Devil is astonishing. I am certain "right" will prevail.

I have also reviewed Ms. Worley's e-mail, so before you ask me, I will say this. Ms. Worley has an impressive resume, but unfortunately it does not include being a lawyer.

My advice is....do nothing at this time....when the lawsuits start rolling in or inquiries are made of you as to how to proceed, simply give them the contact info I gave to you for my colleague. He is already on it.

Wendy

NOTICE: This e-mail message and any attachment to this e-mail message contains confidential information that may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not review, retransmit, convert to hard copy, copy, use or disseminate this e-mail or any attachments to it. If you have received this e-mail in error,

please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by telephone at 561.477.5353 and delete this message. Please note that if this e-mail message contains a forwarded message or is a reply to a prior message, some or all of the contents of this message or any attachments may not have been produced by THE LAW OFFICES OF WENDY A. HAUSMANN, 20283 STATE ROAD 7, SUITE 400, BOCA RATON, FL 33498, E-MAIL: hausmannw@aol.com.

----Original Message-----

From: Carl Kennedy < ciktax@aol.com>

To: hausmannw@aol.com <hausmannw@aol.com>

Sent: Sun, May 10, 2020 3:11 pm

Subject: Fwd: PBFC - Minutes of 5/4/20 Special Meeting

Wendy,

For your review and advice.

Respectfully,

Carl

----Original Message----From: Gregory Gilhooly <sqtqrq@aol.com> To: clktax@aol.com <clktax@aol.com> Cc: cbaker847@gmail.com <cbaker847@gmail.com>; gblohm@runbox.com <gblohm@runbox.com>; shelbychristmas@gmail.com <shelbychristmas@gmail.com>; chrisdavy@me.com <chrisdavy@me.com>; <u>blueskydoc@aol.com</u> <<u>blueskydoc@aol.com</u>>; <u>carlos a figueroa@mac.com</u> <carlos a figueroa@mac.com>; eac4me@gmail.com <eac4me@gmail.com>; tonyha81@bellsouth.net <tonyha81@bellsouth.net>; markjohnson75@hotmail.com <markjohnson75@hotmail.com>; james@autobasecorp.com <james@autobasecorp.com>; john@ewaycorp.com <john@ewaycorp.com>; rpmagnusson@me.com <rpmagnusson@me.com>; evanairplane@gmail.com <evanairplane@gmail.com>; jeff@drakealexander.com <jeff@drakealexander.com>; inozick@gmail.com <inozick@gmail.com>; luis.m.ochoa@gmail.com <luis.m.ochoa@gmail.com>; antoniopalazuelos@gmail.com <antoniopalazuelos@gmail.com>; mjrascoe@gmail.com <mirascoe@gmail.com>; morganwuzhere@gmail.com <morganwuzhere@gmail.com>; hdossantosneto@gmail.com <hdossantosneto@gmail.com>; cap9722@gmail.com <cap9722@gmail.com>; nsolano66@hotmail.com <nsolano66@hotmail.com>; rosstigner@gmail.com <rosstigner@gmail.com>; rolecall5@gmail.com <rolecall5@gmail.com>; sniper0910@yahoo.com <sniper0910@yahoo.com>; blackbat@bellsouth.net <blackbat@bellsouth.net>; ba5852@aol.com <ba5852@aol.com>; djayoub@bellsouth.net <djayoub@bellsouth.net>; marc.bajaj@gmail.com <marc.bajaj@gmail.com>; martinbaybutt@aol.com <martinbaybutt@aol.com>; abiloukha@gmail.com <a href="mailto:<a href="mailt <lesliebutzer01@yahoo.com>; dcannaro@hotmail.com <dcannaro@hotmail.com>; wchurchill@scppartners.com <wchurchill@scppartners.com>; jamie@cliffordassociatesinc.com <jamie@cliffordassociatesinc.com>; maxcraddock@gmail.com <maxcraddock@gmail.com>;

gdarrow55@gmail.com <gdarrow55@gmail.com>; johndunne3774@comcast.net <johndunne3774@comcast.net>; dutranc@gmail.com <dutranc@gmail.com>; marc@allaircrafttraining.com <marc@allaircrafttraining.com>; ggalyo@gmail.com <ggalyo@gmail.com>; justin.golda@yahoo.com <justin.golda@yahoo.com>; genesisproperty@aol.com <genesisproperty@aol.com>; matthanley123@gmail.com <matthanley123@gmail.com>; wingpropgo@aol.com <wingpropgo@aol.com>; barry.ttds@gmail.com <barry.ttds@gmail.com>; ghill@ourhillhouse.com <ghill@ourhillhouse.com>; LHill@ourhillhouse.com <LHill@ourhillhouse.com>; torholm@gmail.com <torholm@gmail.com>; markjarvis21@gmail.com <markjarvis21@gmail.com>; seals1967@yahoo.com <seals1967@yahoo.com>; clktax@aol.com <clktax@aol.com>; robbykennedy@yahoo.com <robbykennedy@yahoo.com>; j.kittay@icloud.com <j.kittay@icloud.com>; rob@patriotmarinellc.com <rob@patriotmarinellc.com>; kevin.maclean@nee.com kevin.maclean@nee.com; CLKTax@aol.com < CLKTax@aol.com>; matosc@bellsouth.net <matosc@bellsouth.net>; dsmcniel@bellsouth.net <dsmcniel@bellsouth.net>; pilotasa@bellsouth.net <pilotasa@bellsouth.net>; rick@skydiveseb.com <rick@skydiveseb.com>; eric@myerscommercial.com <eric@myerscommercial.com>; paul.nudelman@gmail.com <paul.nudelman@gmail.com>; i.otero.32@gmail.com <i.otero.32@gmail.com>; l.pastore@ops-corp.com <l.pastore@ops-corp.com>; carrisachris@gmail.com <carrisachris@gmail.com>; harry@realtybythebeach.com harry@realtybythebeach.com; apotenti@doublepconstruction.com <apotenti@doublepconstruction.com>; tgp103@gmail.com <tgp103@gmail.com>; josh prince@outlook.com <josh prince@outlook.com>; reischer.mark@gmail.com <reischer.mark@gmail.com>; kamarsblessed@yahoo.com <kamarsblessed@yahoo.com>; jrodengen@aol.com <jrodengen@aol.com>; deltico1@gmail.com <deltico1@gmail.com>; richsack@bellsouth.net <richsack@bellsouth.net>; itel933@netscape.net <itel933@netscape.net>; sanchezpaulk@mac.com <sanchezpaulk@mac.com>; mosart@sebben.com <mosart@sebben.com>; informationbravo@gmail.com <informationbravo@gmail.com>; tiger@flightvelocity.com <tiger@flightvelocity.com>; steveswhere@msn.com <steveswhere@msn.com>; santiago@savigroup.com <santiago@savigroup.com>; sterlingwelch@yahoo.com <sterlingwelch@yahoo.com>; info@gerardwilliamslaw.com <info@gerardwilliamslaw.com>; gworley.crna@gmail.com <gworley.crna@gmail.com>; kergator@gmail.com <kergator@gmail.com>; avanikian@aol.com <avanikian@aol.com>; zworthy2@iuno.com <zworthy2@iuno.com> Sent: Sun. May 10, 2020 3:00 pm Subject: Re: PBFC - Minutes of 5/4/20 Special Meeting

Attached hereto are two files. File #1 are the current by laws File #2 A letter sent to past members

Thank you Gregory Gilhooly President, Pompano Beach Flying Club

----Original Message----From: Carl Kennedy <clktax@aol.com> To: sgtgrg@aol.com <sgtgrg@aol.com> Cc: cbaker847@gmail.com <cbaker847@gmail.com>; gblohm@runbox.com <gblohm@runbox.com>; borersj@g.cofc.edu

borersj@g.cofc.edu; kobe.rc12@gmail.com kobe.rc12@gmail.com; kobe.rc12@gmail.com shelbychristmas@gmail.com <shelbychristmas@gmail.com>; chrisdavy@me.com <chrisdavy@me.com>; blueskydoc@aol.com <blueskydoc@aol.com>; carlos a figueroa@mac.com <carlos a figueroa@mac.com>; eac4me@gmail.com <eac4me@gmail.com>; tonyha81@bellsouth.net <tonyha81@bellsouth.net>; markjohnson75@hotmail.com <markjohnson75@hotmail.com>; james@autobasecorp.com <james@autobasecorp.com>; john@ewaycorp.com <john@ewaycorp.com>; rpmagnusson@me.com <rpmagnusson@me.com>; evanairplane@gmail.com <evanairplane@gmail.com>; jeff@drakealexander.com <jeff@drakealexander.com>; jeff@drakealexander.com>; <inozick@gmail.com>; luis.m.ochoa@gmail.com <luis.m.ochoa@gmail.com>; antoniopalazuelos@gmail.com <antoniopalazuelos@gmail.com>; mjrascoe@gmail.com <mirascoe@gmail.com>; morganwuzhere@gmail.com <morganwuzhere@gmail.com>; hdossantosneto@gmail.com <hdossantosneto@gmail.com>; cap9722@gmail.com

```
<cap9722@gmail.com>; nsolano66@hotmail.com <nsolano66@hotmail.com>; rosstigner@gmail.com
<rosstigner@gmail.com>; rolecall5@gmail.com <rolecall5@gmail.com>; sniper0910@yahoo.com
<sniper0910@yahoo.com>; blackbat@bellsouth.net <blackbat@bellsouth.net>; ba5852@aol.com
<ba5852@aol.com>; djayoub@bellsouth.net <diayoub@bellsouth.net>; marc.bajaj@qmail.com
<marc.bajaj@gmail.com>; martinbaybutt@aol.com <martinbaybutt@aol.com>; abiloukha@gmail.com
<a href="mailto:<a href="mailt
<lesliebutzer01@yahoo.com>; dcannaro@hotmail.com <dcannaro@hotmail.com>;
wchurchill@scppartners.com <wchurchill@scppartners.com>; jamie@cliffordassociatesinc.com
<jamie@cliffordassociatesinc.com>; maxcraddock@gmail.com <maxcraddock@gmail.com>;
gdarrow55@gmail.com <gdarrow55@gmail.com>; johndunne3774@comcast.net
<johndunne3774@comcast.net>; dutranc@gmail.com <dutranc@gmail.com>;
marc@allaircrafttraining.com <marc@allaircrafttraining.com>; ggalyo@gmail.com <ggalyo@gmail.com>;
sgtgrg@aol.com <sgtgrg@aol.com>; justin.golda@yahoo.com <justin.golda@yahoo.com>;
genesisproperty@aol.com <genesisproperty@aol.com>; matthanley123@gmail.com
<matthanley123@gmail.com>; wingpropgo@aol.com <wingpropgo@aol.com>; barry.ttds@gmail.com
<barry.ttds@gmail.com>; ghill@ourhillhouse.com <ghill@ourhillhouse.com>; LHill@ourhillhouse.com
<LHill@ourhillhouse.com>; torholm@gmail.com <torholm@gmail.com>; markjarvis21@gmail.com
<markjarvis21@gmail.com>; seals1967@yahoo.com <seals1967@yahoo.com>; Carl Kennedy
<clktax@aol.com>; robbykennedy@yahoo.com <robbykennedy@yahoo.com>; j.kittay@icloud.com
<i.kittay@icloud.com>; rob@patriotmarinellc.com <rob@patriotmarinellc.com>; kevin.maclean@nee.com
<kevin.maclean@nee.com>; CLKTax@aol.com <CLKTax@aol.com>; matosc@bellsouth.net
<matosc@bellsouth.net>; dsmcniel@bellsouth.net <dsmcniel@bellsouth.net>; pilotasa@bellsouth.net
<pilotasa@bellsouth.net>; rick@skydiveseb.com <rick@skydiveseb.com>; eric@myerscommercial.com
<eric@myerscommercial.com>; paul.nudelman@gmail.com <paul.nudelman@gmail.com>;
j.otero.32@gmail.com <j.otero.32@gmail.com>; l.pastore@ops-corp.com <l.pastore@ops-corp.com>;
carrisachris@gmail.com <carrisachris@gmail.com>; harry@realtybythebeach.com
<a href="mailto:sharry@realtybythebeach.com">harry@realtybythebeach.com</a>; apotenti@doublepconstruction.com
<apotenti@doublepconstruction.com>; tgp103@gmail.com <tgp103@gmail.com>;
josh prince@outlook.com <josh prince@outlook.com>; reischer.mark@gmail.com
<reischer.mark@gmail.com>; kamarsblessed@yahoo.com <kamarsblessed@yahoo.com>;
jrodengen@aol.com <jrodengen@aol.com>; deltico1@gmail.com <deltico1@gmail.com>;
richsack@bellsouth.net <richsack@bellsouth.net>; itel933@netscape.net <itel933@netscape.net>;
sanchezpaulk@mac.com <sanchezpaulk@mac.com>; mosart@sebben.com <mosart@sebben.com>;
informationbravo@gmail.com <informationbravo@gmail.com>; tiger@flightvelocity.com
<tiger@flightvelocity.com>; steveswhere@msn.com <steveswhere@msn,com>;
santiago@savigroup.com <santiago@savigroup.com>; sterlingwelch@yahoo.com
<sterlingwelch@yahoo.com>; info@gerardwilliamslaw.com <info@gerardwilliamslaw.com>;
gworley.crna@gmail.com <gworley.crna@gmail.com>; kergator@gmail.com <kergator@gmail.com>;
ayanikian@aol.com <ayanikian@aol.com>; zworthy2@juno.com <zworthy2@juno.com>
Sent; Fri. May 8, 2020 10:45 am
Subject: Fwd: PBFC - Minutes of 5/4/20 Special Meeting
```

To All.

Please see below the e-mail my attorney sent to the PBFC attorney regarding the special meeting on 05-04-2020 in connection with Bob Breeden's motion to disavow monies owed to former and current members.

Respectfully,

HENDYA. MAUSMANN

Attorney and Courselor at Law

*Lember Florida and Maryland Bars

Februery 11, 2020

Mr. Amaury Oruz 7107 Quail Hollow Blvd. Wesley Chapel, FL 33544

RE: Pompano Beach Flying Club

Dear Mr. Ortiz:

This letter will berve as a demand for payment of the debt you owe to the Pompano Beach Flying Club for charges incurred by you in connection with membership dues and/or flying time. Your debt has been assigned to this office for collection.

As of the date hereof, demand is made for the amounts of \$841.69 as reflected by the attached invoice. If we are forced to pursue this matter through litigation, we will additionally seek pre-judgment and post judgment interest as allowed by law.

ites imperelive that you contact this office within thirty (30) days from the date hereof to cliscuss this matter. If we have not reached a resolution within thinly (30) days, I have the right to commence legal proceedings against you to recover the debt without any additional or further notice to you and this latter may be offered in court in any trial of this motter.

AMPORTANT CONSUMER INFORMATION -- &

Unless you routy this office with ordiny (30) days after receiving this notice that you describe has alternoonly this notice that you describe has alternoonly this debt is valid if you notify this deft in writing victim thirty (30) days from receiving this rouce. this office will, obtain verification of the debt and mail you a copy of such verification. If you request of this office in writing within thirty (30) days of receiving this notice this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor. This communication is from a debt collector

This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used (or that purpose.

Kindly give this matter, your promovertention.

Very litely view

endy A. Hausmann, Esq. 283 State Road 7 Boca Raton, FL 33498

Mr. Amauny Ortiz 7107 Quail Hollow Blvd. Wesley Chapel, FL. 33544

30

WENDY A. HAUSMANN

Attorney and Counselor at Law

*Member Florida and Maryland Bars

February 1, 2020

Pompano Beach Flying Club c/o Treasurer - Carl L. Kennedy 2929 S. Ocean Blvd. Unit #510 Boca Raton, FL 33432

Professional services

		Hours	Amount
1/30/20	Preparation of revisions to Corporate Bylaws: Multiple telephone conferences with Carl L. Kennedy re: same.	5,00	1,750.00
	For professional services rendered	5.00	\$1,750.00
	Balance due		\$1,750.00

20283 State Read 7, Suite #400, Boca Raton, Florida 33498

Telephone (561) 477-5353 E-mail; hausmannw@aol.com

Composit Exhibit#35

JPMorgan Chase & Co.

Post date:

02/03/2020

Amount:

\$ 1750.00

POWANO FLYING CLUB DE CARL LÉRINGEV 2015 A CORTON, EL 3743Z SOL-552-6760	BANK OF AVERTEA NA 5984
PAYTO THE Wendy A. Havamann One Thousand Seven Hundred Elfty and 00/100 have the seven Hundred Elfty All	
Wendy A. Hausmann Afformsy At Lew 3704 Arelan Drive Delray Beach, FL 33445	2400 MSI PANIS OCHANS

Account:

945259596

Check Number:

5984

OFPOS)
A 150 250 SALV
A 150 250 STORY
PARAMETERS DESIGNATION
PARAMETERS DESIGNATION
AND DESIGN

From: <<u>hausmannw@aol.com</u>> Date: Thu, Nov 14, 2019 at 6:29 PM

Subject: Re: PBFC Meeting - 11/13 - Response to Questions

To:

brentbutrym@gmail.com>

CC: <clktax@aol.com>

Carl:

Kindly forward this, my below email to Brent Butrym, to all members of the Board and anyone else you may deem appropriate. Thank you.

Mr. Butrym:

Since you appear insistent on, and even attempted to again cause chaos for the PBFC at last night's membership meeting by having someone else do your dirty work in your absence, I have requested and been granted the opportunity to reply to your email and answer your questions below, as I believe they address legal matters.

1. Treasurer's assistant

I am fully aware of this issue. I have advised both Carl and the BOD regarding same. Now I will explain it very clearly to you, Sir.

You are not now, nor were you ever, "Assistant Treasurer". In addition, you are not now, nor were you ever, a "non-voting member of the Board". Neither of those positions are valid or legal under the PBFC Bylaws. They do not, and cannot, exist without a parliamentary revision to the Bylaws. Period. There are only 5 permissible members of the BOD, whether "without voting privileges" or not. There are only 4 permissible Officers. At best, it sounds like perhaps for a few minutes, maybe you volunteered to be the Treasurer's assistant. Much different than Assistant Treasurer. These are not just semantics. The difference is huge. A Treasurer's assistant is like a secretary. No authority, no liability, no rights. A Treasurer's assistant goes to Office Depot and gets new rolls of paper for the calculator, puts checks in order, whatever the Treasurer asks him/her to do. On the other hand, an Assistant Treasurer, like an Assistant Manager, has the same authority as the manager and stands in the manager's place in the manager's absence. Similarly, an Assistant Treasurer has authority, rights and liabilities.

Moreover, Mr. Butrym, it is quite evident that you do not wish to assist the Treasurer, Carl Kennedy, in any way, shape or form. You want to monitor, supervise and constitute surveillance over him. Your motives are malevolent, not benevolent. And I will provide advice and "protect" the BOD to the extent that my client is a member of it and their interests are derivative of, or coincide with, his.

Exhibit#6

In any event, if you are still interested and desire to volunteer to be the person who goes to Office Depot, kindly advise Carl. Otherwise, you have no legitimate purpose and are not needed in connection with the Treasurer of PBFC. I hope that puts an end to this issue and clears up any confusion you may have had to date.

2. PBFC audit

Mr. Butrym, your animosity against Carl Kennedy is so obvious and pervasive as to be an intrusion and permanent interference with the current audit process. Communications by any member with the auditing firm are entirely inappropriate and have irreparably tainted and compromised the integrity of the process initiated with this firm by the BOD. I intend to do everything in my power to convince each member of the BOD that the current audit *must* be terminated immediately, and without further cost to the Club, due to the lack of neutrality and impartiality caused by the member(s) contacting the auditing firm without the knowledge and participation of the BOD. Such hypocritical conduct is unconscionable and reeks of the sneakiness and underhandedness which you attribute to my client. Where is the transparency in the members who communicated with the auditing firm? Do they wish to identify themselves and make known their communications? For the sake of transparency, of course. I hope it is realized that the auditing firm has no privilege of confidentiality with you or any other individual member of PBFC, Mr. Butrym. Such communications comprise billable time for which the Board is paying and to which they should be privy.

With respect to your not even veiled accusation that there is a nefarious motive because the audit isn't moving quickly enough for your liking, perhaps you are unaware that PBFC is a hobby for Carl Kennedy and he has a full-time day job that requires his prioritized attention. He also has multiple functions within the Club that take a good portion of his "spare" time as well. If you can put more hours in a day, I'm sure Carl will be willing to fill them with performing extra, beyond the ordinary that he already does, accounting-related functions for the Club. If not, kindly be reminded that patience is a virtue. Be virtuous.

At this point I see 3 viable options regarding this audit. Either 1) get a new firm for the external audit and make it clear that there are to be no communications with PBFC members without full knowledge of the BOD. The BOD hired the firm and is paying for it. They are the client of the firm; 2) do an internal audit; or 3) drop these ridiculous antics, everybody play nice in the sandbox together, and go have a good time flying airplanes. You know this battle of spite is threatening to cause the decimation of PBFC, and you simply aren't going to get the "dirt" you want for or about Carl Kennedy. Take your pick, Mr. Butrym. But if you think I am going to watch you try to put my client's head on a platter because you clearly have a personal axe to grind, you need to look into my reputation more closely.

Do not hesitate to contact me should you have further questions or require additional clarification with respect to these 2 issues.

Thank you, Wendy A. Hausmann 561.477.5353

NOTICE: This e-mail message and any attachment to this e-mail message contains confidential information that may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not review, retransmit, convert to hard copy, copy, use or disseminate this e-mail or any attachments to it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by telephone at 561.477.5353 and delete this message. Please note that if this e-mail message contains a forwarded message or is a reply to a prior message, some or all of the contents of this message or any attachments may not have been produced by THE LAW OFFICES OF WENDY A. HAUSMANN, 20283 STATE ROAD 7, SUITE 400, BOCA RATON, FL 33498, E-MAIL: hausmannw@aol.com.

----Original Message-----

From: Kennedy <clktax@aol.com>

To: WENDY HAUSMANN hausmannw@aol.com

Sent: Wed, Nov 13, 2019 8:14 pm

Subject: Fwd: PBFC Meeting - 11/13 - Questions

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Brent Butrym < NoReply@timesync.com>
Date: November 13, 2019 at 5:37:29 PM EST

To: Carl Kennedy <clktax@aol.com>

Subject: PBFC Meeting - 11/13 - Questions

Reply-To: brentbutrym@gmail.com

Unfortunately, I am unable to attend tonight's meeting. I went out of town on business last minute.

Will someone ask the following questions:

Why was I appointed assistant treasurer and then un-appointed when I started asking questions about the \$165,000 in expenses that have yet to be explained? I did not resign as was falsely reported. Seems like someone is trying to keep something hidden.

The board keeps talking about an independent accountant conducting an "audit or review." He has received nothing and hasn't heard from Carl yet. Kinda hard to do one's job without the requested documents. It's been three months since the engagement letter was signed. Will there actually be an independent review conducted?

And somebody PLEASE either record the audio of the meeting or send out minutes after?

Thank you,

Brent Butrym

From: **Gregg Galyo** <ggalyo@gmail.com> Date: Sun, Nov 17, 2019 at 3:22 AM

To: Brent Butrym < brentbutrym@gmail.com>
Cc: Robert L. Breeden bobbreeden@me.com>

Hello Brent,

I agree. The way she talks, it's apparent she is protecting the BOD and has stated she is advising them. She also threaten you in the end. Funny how she is quoting the bylaws but the BOD don't adhere to the them but that's okay. Her recommendations in the end shouldn't be addressed to you, they should be addressed to the BOD. Typically BS.

r/Gregg

Check Details - chase.com

CHASE for BUSINESS

Printed from Chase for Business

\$10,000.00

Dec 20, 2019 Post date

1281 Check#

Total

THE LAW OFFICES OF WENDY HAUSMANN B1-11 85-8413 41754 1281

ATTORNEY OPERATING ACCOUNT
20283 STATE ROAD 7 STE. 400
BOCA RATON, FL 33498-6904

PAYTOTHE POMPANO Sr. Squacharthyng Chbs 10, 000, 00

PAYTOTHE POMPANO SR. Squacharthyng Chbs 10, 000,

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Member FDIC

©2020 JPMorgan Chase & Co.

Equal Opportunity Lender

1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
2	IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA
3	CASE NO.: CACE20005993 (08)
4	
5	POMPANO SENIOR SQUADRON FLYING
6	CLUB, INC., a Florida Corporation,
7	Plaintiff,
8	vs.
9	CARL KENNEDY, individually,
10	Defendant /
1	1 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
12	VIA ZOOM VIDEO CONFERENCE BEFORE
13	THE HONORABLE DAVID HAIMES
14	JULY 8, 2021
15	11:00 a.m 11:49 a.m.
16	
17	Empire Legal Reporting
18	110 SE 6th Street, Suite 1701
19	Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
20	(954) 241-1010
21	www.EmpireReporters.com
22	
23	REPORTED BY:
24	MICHELLE MEJIA, COURT REPORTER
25	NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF FLORIDA
	1

1	INDEX TO APPEARANCES
2	
3	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
4	EDWARD F. HOLODAK, ESQUIRE
5	Law Offices of Edward F. Holodak, P.A.
6	7951 SW 6th Street, Suite 210
7	Plantation, FL 33324
8	(954)927-3436
9	edward@holodakpa.com
10	
11	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
12	WENDY HAUSMANN, ESQUIRE
13	Hausmann Law
14	20283 State Road 7 Ste 400
15	Boca Raton, FL 33498
16	(561)477-5353
17	hausmannw@aol.com
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	39

1		INDEX TO EXAMINATION		
2				
3			PAGE	
4	Proceedings		4	
5	Certificate of Tra	nscription	43	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13		INDEX TO EXHIBITS		
14				
15	NUMBER	DOCUMENT	PAGE	
16		(NONE.)		
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25			4	10

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: All right. We're still a little
3	bit early on the Pompano Senior Squadron case at 11:00.
4	Let me ask, have you all been able to resolve who is
5	our court reporter?
6	MR. HOLODAK: Good morning, Your Honor. I
7	didn't realize we had two.
8	THE COURT: Okay. This is Plaintiff's Motion
9	To Disqualify, so who's plantiff's counsel?
10	MR. HOLODAK: Ed Holodak, Your Honor. We'll
11	take our court reporter, seeing it's our motion.
12	THE COURT: Who is your court reporter?
13	MR. HOLODAK: That's a good question.
14	THE COURT REPORTER: Good morning. I'm here
15	on behalf of Mr. Edward Holodak.
16	THE COURT: You're here?
17	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
18	THE COURT: All right. No video?
19	THE COURT REPORTER: No, I'm sorry.
20	THE COURT: Are you going to be able to take
21	this down, okay?
22	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, everything's fine.
23	THE COURT: What agency are you with?
24	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm with Empire.
25	MS. SEITER: (Inaudible), Your Honor.
	· ·

```
1
                THE COURT: No. Hang on one second, Ms.
 2
       Salter (ph). It's Salter, right?
 3
                MS. SEITER: Seiter (ph).
 4
                THE COURT: Seiter. I'm sorry. I can't read
5
       that.
 6
                MS. SEITER: It's okay.
7
                THE COURT: So, I think it's -- Ms. Hausmann,
8
       you represent Mr. Kennedy?
9
                MS. HAUSMANN: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning.
10
                THE COURT: Good morning. I take it you hired
11
       Ms. Seiter?
12
                MS. HAUSMANN: Yes, Your Honor.
13
                THE COURT: All right. You agree I release
14
              There's -- Mr. Holodak, he's the one who set the
       her?
15
       motion down, and he's the one who filed the motion.
16
       So, I think he gets to pick the court reporter, right?
17
                MS. HAUSMANN: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank
       you, Ms. Seiter. Have a good day.
18
19
                MS. SEITER: You all have a nice day, too.
20
       Thank you.
21
                MR. HOLODAK:
                              Thank you.
22
                MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, before we get
23
       started, I know we're set from 11 to 11:30. I don't
24
       know if the Court recalls back in September when there
25
       was a discussion about how long this would be set for,
```

and I requested four hours for several witnesses and argument, and you said you would only be taking argument today. So, I just wanted to confirm that that was the case.

THE COURT: At the end of the day, (inaudible) disqualify. Ms. Hausmann, I think it's clear at one point you represented Pompano Senior Squadron Flying Club, right? And Mr. Kennedy's now trying or paying you to represent him individually.

And so I mean, I don't think there's much of a, you know, really a factual dispute, you know, where I need to take evidence on certain matters.

But at the end of the day, Mr. Holodak, we've talked about this many times as well. Yeah, I can go ahead and if I were to disqualify Ms. Hausmann, you know, Mr. Kennedy was representing himself. Is that really what you want to do?

Do you want to deal with Mr. Kennedy by himself? And all he's going to do is pick up the phone unofficially and call Ms. Hausmann. And so, all it's going to do is create more work for you, and then for all these other people that are on -- I know every time we get several numbers of the Pompano Senior Squadron Flying Club.

And so I'm telling you-all, it's going to cost

1 you more money because your squadron club is going to 2 have pay Mr. Holodak more attorney's fees just to have 3 to deal with Mr. Kennedy as a pro se litigant unless he 4 does hire another attorney which he did at one point, 5 but that attorney was (inaudible). MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, actually that's not 6 7 the case. He wouldn't be pro se. The case law is 8 very, very clear that even if you disqualify me, I am 9 still permitted to represent him at all pretrial and 10 posttrial proceedings. There is no qualification to 11 that law. 12 MR. HOLODAK: Who --13 MS. HAUSMANN: I'm sorry? 14 MR. HOLODAK: Pardon me. I didn't mean to 15 interrupt you. 16 MS. HAUSMANN: I'm speaking. Your Honor, I 17 would still be participating --18 THE COURT: Hang on, hang on. Let me ask the 19 questions. All right. So, you're saying that if it 20 goes to trial before the jury, you would not be -- Mr. 21 Kennedy would either have to have another attorney or 22 he would have to represent himself, right? 23 MS. HAUSMANN: If you granted the Motion For 24 Disqualification, yes.

THE COURT: All right.

25

1 MR. HOLODAK: Judge, I respectfully disagree 2 with that position. 3 I'll hear it in a minute, but I THE COURT: 4 think there's, you know, legal aspects to it but, you 5 know, I'm looking at this case and I think at a 6 minimum, you know, you may be called as a witness. 7 Correct, Ms. Hausmann? 8 MS. HAUSMANN: If I were going to be called as 9 a witness, first of all I'm not a necessary material, 10 indispensable, or a featured witness or a central 11 figure. If I were going to be called as a witness it 12 would -- the rule pertains to calling me as a witness 13 for Mr. Kennedy's case, not from Mr. Holodak's case. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 The rule is not -- the object MS. HAUSMANN: 16 of the rule is not to permit the opposing party to call 17 The rule pertains to -- I have to be me as a witness. 18 unnecessary -- I ought to be called as a witness by Mr. 19 Kennedy on my own client's behalf. I will give you --20 I have all the case law, Your Honor. Steinberg says 21 very clearly. I am to be --22 THE COURT: Have you checked with the Florida 23 Bar by the way? 24 MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, I believe that the 25 Bar has --

```
1
                THE COURT: Have you gotten a ruling?
 2
       Typically, you contact the Bar because again, this is
 3
       your Bar license that you have to worry about as well,
 4
       right?
 5
                MS. HAUSMANN:
                                Yes.
 6
                THE COURT: The Florida Bar, they want, you
7
       know, unless you stayed on as an attorney. What's the
8
       conflict? My understanding is usually in these
       situations where somebody wants to stay on when there's
9
10
       a Motion To Disqualify they say, "Look Judge, I've
11
       already run this by the Bar, and the Bar said it's
12
       fine." You haven't even taken that step to run this by
13
       the Bar?
14
                MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, there is -- the
15
       Club did file a complaint I believe, as a tactile
16
       measure. Your Honor, I believe, especially with the
17
       argument I'm going to give you today and the case law
18
       I'm going to give you today --
19
                THE COURT: (Inaudible).
20
                MS. HAUSMANN:
                                I am not --
21
                THE COURT: (Inaudible) it's risky, all right?
22
                MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, I don't believe I
23
       have a conflict representing him in this case.
24
                THE COURT: We're going to get to that.
25
               So, the bottom line is, this is for legal
                                                                 46
```

```
1
       argument only. Let's just start this on this record.
 2
                Again, we have a court reporter. This is on
 3
       20-5993, Pompano Senior Squadron Flying Club Inc.
 4
       versus -- it's also Pompano Senior Squadron Flying
5
       Club, Inc., but I don't think that's the correct style.
 6
       If I look at the actual Complaint it was Carl Kennedy.
7
                MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor --
8
                THE COURT: It's styled as Pompano Senior
9
       Squadron Flying Club, Inc. versus Carl Kennedy
10
       individually.
11
                MR. HOLODAK: Yes, sir. You're already issued
12
       an order to have the clerk correct the style.
13
                THE COURT: Can I get appearances on behalf of
14
       the plaintiff?
15
                MR. HOLODAK: Edward Holodak, Your Honor,
16
       appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff Pompano Senior
17
       Squadron Club.
18
                THE COURT: All right, Mr. Holodak. And then
19
       on behalf of Mr. Kennedy?
20
                MS. HAUSMANN: Good morning, Your Honor.
21
       Wendy Hausmann on behalf of Carl Kennedy.
22
                THE COURT: All right. Good morning, Ms.
23
       Hausmann.
                  I know we've already had a lot of dialogue.
24
       I take it that's all on the record. Ms. Mejia, you've
25
       been taking everything so far, correct?
                                                                47
```

THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, since the beginning if that's okay.

THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine. I should have done this from the beginning, gotten the appearances.

Okay. So we have a Complaint, and so Pompano Senior Squadron Flying Club, Inc. versus Carl Kennedy. We've corrected that.

For whatever reason, the clerk's office, when they input it, they put it incorrectly but that should have been taken care of. It has not been, so I don't know if you want to put a note. I have Maria the court clerk here. I'm going to have her put a note to fix the style.

The Complaint, you know, is Count 1 for the county. Count 2 is for injunction, and I should let the record reflect that it's in the -- actually in the Complaint that part of the allegations is that Mr. Kennedy, he was a prior treasurer of the Plaintiff Flying Club, and he wrote a bunch of checks himself.

And one of the questions is, it has to do with checks written to Wendy Hausmann, Esquire and others.

And Ms. Hausmann, you're actually even named in the body of the Complaint.

And so Mr. Kennedy has retained Ms. Hausmann as his attorney, and Mr. Holodak you're moving to

disqualify Ms. Hausmann. It's your motion, Mr. Holodak. What's the basis?

MR. HOLODAK: Judge, thank you. You're correct as to the summary of the Complaint and the issues involved here. And the case law that we submitted to the Court is clear that the party moving for disqualification has two burdens.

One is to show that there was an attorney/client relationship. Once that is shown, and I believe the Court's already recognized that the documentation we supported supports that.

That there is an irreputable presumption that confidence or confidences were disclosed to Ms.

Hausmann in this case. The second point for disqualification is that it's my burden to show that the work Ms. Hausmann did or the Club previously, is either the same or substantially related to the issues that are involved in this lawsuit and once we do that, Ms. Hausmann is disqualified.

As opposed to her argument that she made earlier that she can still continue to represent Mr.

Kennedy up until the day of trial, that is inaccurate.

That portion of the law only applies if you Judge, find that there's no conflict, but that Ms. Hausmann is going to be a witness at trial.

All of the cases that she has cited to are all related to her being called as a witness. The fact that the Court finds disqualification, and I think based upon the documentation we've submitted, the Court must find that.

According to the Kenn Air case that we submitted from the 1st DCA 1992, Ms. Hausmann is out immediately. There is a provision whereas a holding in the Kenn Air case that says, "Upon disqualification, the attorney is out now because the potential damage at subsequent proceedings."

I don't think this is a trial. It says,
"Because of the potential damage of subsequent
proceedings, having the prior attorney represent the
current defendant is a basis for disqualification now."

It's just like, Judge, when you move to recuse a trial judge. The trial judge doesn't say, "Well, I'll sit in everything up until the date of trial."

The trial judge is out immediately.

If there's a disqualification, it's based upon the fact that Ms. Hausmann was the Club's attorney, and based upon the case law, there is an irrefutable presumption that confidences were disclosed.

So, she can't sit through the remainder of this case. She can't even communicate with Mr. Kennedy

regarding this case. As the Court indicated beforehand, Mr. Kennedy, behind the scenes could talk to her. That would be a violation of the Order.

THE COURT: All right. There's a Bar rule that was it. It's rule 4-1.9.

MR. HOLODAK: Right.

THE COURT: It's styled "Conflict of
Interest/Former Client. A lawyer who has formally
represented a client in a matter must not afterwards,
not may, it's a must (a) represent another person in
the same order substantially related matter in which
that person's interests are materially adverse to the
interest of the former client unless the former client
gives informed consent." Are you giving consent?

MR. HOLODAK: We are not Judge, and Ms.

Hausmann's never consulted with anybody on the Board regarding her potential conflict and asked for consent.

But I will represent to the Court my client will consent to Ms. Hausmann representing Mr. Kennedy.

THE COURT: Okay. The rule goes on. There's (b), "Use information relating to the reference in case to the disadvantage of the former client except what rules will permit or acquire with respect to my client or when the information has become generally known or see and reveal information relating to the

representation except as these rules would permit or require with respect to the client."

So, your argument is -- and there's another case. All I did was reference back to the Florida Back.

case. All I did was reference back to the Florida Bar rules, and what our rules say is that you cannot represent a client against a former client if it involves the same or a substantially related matter.

And you're saying --

MR. HOLODAK: Exactly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that's what going on here.

Ms. Hausmann represented the Plaintiff Squadron and or

Flying Club, and that now the lawsuit pertaining to

matters where she had represented the Flying Club

before, and now it's either the same or substantially

related matters, correct?

MR. HOLODAK: That is exactly our point, Judge.

THE COURT: Response, Ms. Hausmann?

MS. HAUSMANN: Yes, Your Honor. Matters are also substantially related. The 2006 (inaudible) to the rule if they involve the same transaction or legal dispute or if the current matter would involve the lawyer and the type of the work that the lawyer performed for the former client.

Your Honor, my connection with the Club is

very limited. I reviewed and made suggestions to bylaw that are now 11 years old and were a matter of public record on the Club's website until the new Board took over.

This -- as far as I know Your Honor, the inquiry that you need to make to determine if I should be disqualified is one, was my work on those bylaws substantially related to the current lawsuit?

There is absolutely nothing in the Complaint or anywhere else that refers to the bylaws except their existence and my working on them.

There is no provision in the Complaint that says, "Article 5 Section 2 says the treasurer can or can't or there's absolutely nothing in the Complaint.

The record doesn't show anything that the bylaws proposed suggestions that I made are in any way, let alone substantially related to this lawsuit. The same thing --

THE COURT: Let me just -- we'll go step by step here. Mr. Holodak, if all she did and the only issues here was her review of bylaws 11 years ago, would you be moving to disqualify Ms. Hausmann?

MS. HAUSMANN: I'm sorry, not 11 years. I didn't do them 11 years ago, Your Honor. They've been in existence for 11 years. They haven't changed, they

```
1
       were never -- my revisions were never considered or
 2
       adopted by the new Board at all. So, all I did was
 3
       take the public bylaws and --
 4
                THE COURT: When did you do this?
 5
                MS.
                     HAUSMANN:
                                 I did some in the beginning.
 6
       I think in 2018 or 2018, maybe the beginning of 2019,
       and then I didn't do anything for the Club. I did make
7
8
       a loan to the Club at the end of December, but I hadn't
9
       done any work on the bylaws or anything else, and I
10
       didn't anticipate doing any more work at that time, so
11
       they were not my client at the time I made the loan.
12
       But that is not an issue.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. So, you did work in 2018.
14
       Did you get paid for it?
15
                MS. HAUSMANN: Yes.
16
                THE COURT: How much did the plaintiff pay
17
       you?
18
                                I believe like $1750.
                MS. HAUSMANN:
19
                             The only money you got from the
                THE COURT:
20
       Plaintiff Squadron Flying Club was $1,750 for a legal
21
       fee.
22
                MS. HAUSMANN:
                                No, Your Honor.
                                                 I also -- in
23
       2020, in January or February, I did -- I concluded some
24
       revisions for them, and I was paid for that. I don't
25
       recall how much on that, but it was fairly
                                                                 54
```

```
1
       consequential.
 2
                THE COURT: Okay. Plus an inconsequential
 3
                So, I take it that's even less than 1750. How
 4
       much was the loan that you made to the Pompano Senior
5
       Squadron Flying Club?
 6
                MS. HAUSMANN: It was $10,000, Your Honor.
7
                THE COURT: Did they pay you back?
8
                MS. HAUSMANN:
                                Yes.
9
                THE COURT: Did you get interest?
10
                MS. HAUSMANN:
                                Yes.
11
                THE COURT: What was the interest on there?
12
                MS. HAUSMANN: Mr. Kennedy said he paid me ten
13
       percent interest.
14
                THE COURT: All right. So let me ask, Mr.
15
       Holodak, is a $10,000 loan and interest and the $1,750
16
       of legal fees plus an inconsequential following
17
       payments, is that an issue in this case?
18
                               They are Judge, because there's
                MR. HOLODAK:
19
       no promissory note between Ms. Hausmann and the Club.
20
       There's no documentation showing what the terms of this
21
       alleged loan was. There's no documentation showing
22
       what the evidence was.
23
                There's simply a check from Ms. Hausmann to a
24
       client and the check back from the client to Ms.
25
       Hausmann with extra monies, and the monies represented
```

1 more than 12 percent interest or ten percent interest that she's saying, and there are no terms. 2 3 And when we took Ms. Hausmann's deposition, 4 she suddenly couldn't remember anything about the loan. 5 If I submit the deposition to the Court, almost every 6 one of her answers were, "I don't remember, I don't 7 remember, I don't remember." 8 Well anyway, at the end of the day THE COURT: 9 you have one count of the accounting. Part of that 10 accounting has to do with this loan. 11 MR. HOLODAK: Part of that accounting has to do with this. 12 Part of the accounting is also whether 13 Mr. Kennedy complied with the bylaws which Ms. Hausmann 14 has just admitted that she edited, and the bylaw issue 15 Judge, with due respect to Ms. Kennedy or I'm sorry, 16 Ms. Hausmann, is not the only issue. And I've got an 17 email that was attached from Ms. Hausmann to a 18 member --19 MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor? 20 MR. HOLODAK: Hold on, Ms. Hausmann. I didn't 21 interrupt you. 22 MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, I'm sorry but if 23 we're going to get into evidence, then I'm going to ask for --24 25 We're not going to get into a THE COURT:

four-hour hearing on stuff that is not in dispute, all right? So, we're going to start with what everybody agrees, and we'll see if I can go on that.

If I have to have a four-hour hearing to make a determination, obviously that will have to be another day. So again, so Mr. Holodak, you're saying that in addition to this loan, what else is at issue in this lawsuit with respect to Ms. Hausmann?

MR. HOLODAK: Whether or not Mr. Kennedy properly filed -- followed the bylaws as part of the injunction and as part of the accounting. It's going to come down to whether or not he properly followed the bylaws which Ms. Hausmann has now just represented to the Court that she in fact edited on behalf of the association.

So, the document that she edited is going to be an issue in a lawsuit when it comes to Mr. Kennedy's defenses.

THE COURT: And part of his defense might be that "Hey, I was just relying upon my attorney or the attorney for the flying club."

MR. HOLODAK: Yes, Judge. By advice of counsel and I followed the bylaws. You have no issue with me. So clearly, Ms. Hausmann's going to be a material witness on that issue.

1 And then Judge, with respect, there's an email 2 from Ms. Hausmann. She may say that it's disputed, but 3 it's from her email to a member of the Club --4 MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, this -- if this is 5 going to get evidence --6 MR. HOLODAK: Ms. Hausmann, please do not 7 interrupt me. Don't interrupt me. 8 I'm not going to --THE COURT: 9 I have to object. MS. HAUSMANN: 10 THE COURT: You dispute. All right. So, 11 since we're not having an evidentiary today, I'm not 12 going to consider the email for now. All right. 13 MR. HOLODAK: Judge, but it goes to the 14 material issue of whether or not the work is 15 substantially the same. Ms. Hausmann has represented o 16 the Court that the only issue --17 This is a lot easier issue than --THE COURT: 18 all right. So Ms. Hausmann, okay, I'm going to read 19 you the Bar rule again, all right? And again, this is 20 supposed to be for your protection, all right? You're 21 bound by the --22 MS. HAUSMANN: I understand I'm bound by the 23 rules of the Florida Bar, Your Honor. I do. 24 THE COURT: Regulated by the Florida Bar. 25 one of the rules says, "Rule" -- I'm going to read it

again, "4-1.9, conflict of interest, former client."

"A lawyer," that would be you, "was formally representing a client," meaning the Pompano Squadron Senior Squadron Flying Club, "in a manner must not afterwards (a), represent another person," here Mr. Kennedy," in the same or substantially related matter in which that person's interests are materially adverse to the interest of the former client unless the former client gives informed consent."

They're not giving informed consent, so they are representing that your loan is part of the issue in this case. So, that would be a same matter.

I know you're saying it doesn't, you know, that it's not a big deal, the \$10,000 loan. You gave them 10,000. They gave you 10,000 back. There was some interest, so what? But that's -- that is a same or substantially related matter, correct?

MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, no. I don't agree.

If it -- and the substantially matter, if it was substantially related -- and I'd like to qualify before I go on, Your Honor.

Whatever money they paid me wasn't inconsequential in the fact that it was nothing. I understand that any money is money, but I'm talking -- I wanted to reflect that there were not thousands of

1 dollars paid. 2 And in any event, again Your Honor, the loan 3 -- nothing about the loan itself other than its 4 existence has been shown in the record to be adverse to 5 either party. 6 Any testimony I could give -- and again, the 7 Steinberg case doesn't permit Mr. Holodak to call me as 8 a witness. That is not what the rule is for. The rule 9 is to protect me from Mr. Kennedy calling me as a 10 witness. 11 THE COURT: (Inaudible). 12 MS. HAUSMANN: Yes, Your Honor. Steinberg -yes, Your Honor. Steinberg, the Winn-Dixie Stores 121 13 14 So. 3d 622. It's a 4th DCA 2013. Your Honor, I'd also 15 like to point out that there's never been any --16 there's nothing in the record or any allegation that 17 that \$10,000 loan was either made or received 18 improperly. 19 There's no allegation that Mr. Kennedy said 20 that I wrote a check to Mr. --21 THE COURT: I'm going to look at your case 22 first. 23 MS. HAUSMANN: Okay. 24 The attorney spoke with the store THE COURT:

manager, a witness and that was it. And based on that,

25

1	they wanted to disqualify the attorney. The Court did		
2	disqualify the attorney and the 4th reversed it, right?		
3	MS. HAUSMANN: Yes.		
4	THE COURT: Okay. That's a lot different than		
5	they represented a former client, right?		
6	MS. HAUSMANN: But Your Honor, my		
7	representation was isolated to the bylaws. I did not		
8	represent the Club for that loan, and that really		
9	THE COURT: But you made a loan and that loan		
10	is an issue in this case. How is that not the same?		
11	MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, you will see from		
12	Steinberg that my		
13	THE COURT: Again, your client is accused of		
14	taking, being the treasurer of the squadron		
15	MS. HAUSMANN: Yes.		
16	THE COURT: the Pompano Squadron Flying		
17	Club		
18	MS. HAUSMANN: Yes.		
19	THE COURT: writing personal checks to		
20	himself, right? Or writing a bunch of checks for a		
21	credit card and doing things and not complying with the		
22	bylaws.		
23	MS. HAUSMANN: No, Your Honor. There's		
24	nothing in the Complaint about him not complying with		
25	the bylaws.		

1 THE COURT: You're not getting --2 MS. HAUSMANN: The bylaws are not mentioned at 3 all. 4 THE COURT: Him not getting authorization, so 5 your client is going to admit he did not comply with the bylaws? 6 7 No, he's not going to admit MS. HAUSMANN: 8 What I'm saying is, there's nothing in the 9 record to tie my work on the bylaws with the 10 plaintiff's claim for an accounting. There is no 11 provision in the bylaws that says --12 THE COURT: Are you going to represent to this 13 Court that your client is not going to ever mention to 14 the jury of factfinder, "Hey, I contacted my attorney 15 for advice. My attorney said" -- not my attorney. "I 16 contacted the attorney for the flying club," basically 17 you, "to make sure that what I was doing was correct." 18 Your client's not going to say that? 19 MS. HAUSMANN: No. He didn't inquire of me 20 about any of his conduct or anything that he did for 21 the Club, Your Honor. We're not talking about that. 22 The bylaws -- my suggestions have nothing to 23 do with a complaint or the issue of an accounting. 24 I mean, I don't understand. There's no tie in 25 with the bylaws. There's no specific violation that

they're alleging with the bylaws and my proposed revisions are absolutely of no import, that the club didn't even ask about the bylaws for the revisions in my deposition.

They can't be central issues and substantially related. The record has no support for that. The deposition should have flushed out as opposed to not asking at all, and he was permitted to do so.

MR. HOLODAK: Yes. With due respect that is totally disingenuous because Ms. Hausmann stipulated at the beginning of the deposition she would not answer any questions except directly as it relates to the promissory note or whether or not the promissory note or loan existed because she's under investigation by the Florida Bar, and she said she wouldn't answer any questions in her deposition other than the actual note.

MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, that's absolutely false.

MR. HOLODAK: So, that's number one. Number two Judge --

MS. HAUSMANN: That's false, Your Honor. That is absolutely false, and if that were on the record, he should have been producing that deposition and that's where he says that I restricted his inquiry.

I've got emails from him and between us, Your

Honor, where he says he's going to ask about the bylaws, I said I had no problem with that.

I did not restrict his inquiry, and if he thinks that I did and is making those statements which are utterly false, he should have produced a deposition transcript.

He doesn't -- he didn't even order the deposition transcript, Your Honor. That's how of little important it was, and how little he asked me of significance as to whether or not I had any knowledge about this case. Whether or not I had talked about any confidential information. Whether or not I had access or exposure to any financial documents or any documents of the club.

Whereas in Mr. Holodak's cases, there was exposure to claim files. There was exposure to personal, confidential information between two parties.

THE COURT: All right. Give me another case because the case that you cited has nothing to do with an attorney who represented a former client now, you know, now representing a new client or former clients and being a witness. That was a totally different scenario where the person just happened to possibly be a witness (inaudible).

MS. HAUSMANN: But Your Honor, the rule is the

1 Steinberg says, "I am to be barred" --2 It's not the same. You're titling THE COURT: 3 -- they're citing a totally different Bar rule. 4 Bar rule they're citing there is the one of where one 5 should not act as an advocate of a trial which the lawyer likely -- is likely to be a witness, a necessary 6 7 witness on behalf of the client. MS. HAUSMANN: On behalf of my client. 8 9 THE COURT: Correct. That rule is not an 10 issue here. You're under a different rule. 11 having to do with conflict of interest where you 12 represented the opposing party as their attorney. 13 Okay? 14 MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, I did not --15 THE COURT: You have a prima case. 16 MS. HAUSMANN: I did not generally represent 17 -- I was never general counsel. I did not represent the plaintiff at all times. I did a couple of specific 18 19 isolated projects for them. My work on the bylaws is complete -- if the 20 21 bylaws were so important Your Honor, how come there is 22 no recitation or reference to them, specifically 23 anywhere at any time? 24 There is no allegation that he violated 25 provision this, that, or article lists that -- they are

1 just -- and so, there is no substantial relationship to 2 my proposed suggestions to those bylaws. 3 They are unrelated completely, not just not 4 substantially related, and the loan is also not 5 substantially related. 6 It's not a central issue in this case, Your 7 Honor because if it were, it would have been flushed 8 out more by the plaintiff at my deposition and it 9 wasn't. 10 There weren't any questions about the bylaws. 11 There weren't any questions about any accounting. 12 There wasn't any questions about whether I obtained 13 information or financial records that were not 14 otherwise available and generally known. 15 Judge, we'll send you the email MR. HOLODAK: 16 screen and we will produce the deposition that will 17 directly contradict what Ms. Hausmann is representing. 18 I don't need that. THE COURT: 19 MR. HOLODAK: Additionally --20 MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor? 21 MR. HOLODAK: -- Your Honor --22 THE COURT: I don't need that. Hang on. 23 don't need that. 24 MR. HOLODAK: Okay. In accounting, Judge, you 25 know, Ms. Hausmann keeps saying there's nothing --66

1 THE COURT: Ms. Hausmann, anything further? 2 MS. HAUSMANN: Yes, Your Honor. I have quite 3 This is of extreme gravamen for them to a bit further. 4 be moving to disqualify a parties chosen counsel. 5 I actually -- I would like to make my argument 6 and cite my case law, and if necessary, if the Court is 7 in any way implying to grant the Motion For 8 Disgualification, I would like an evidentiary hearing. 9 I would like to make my argument, Your Honor. 10 Disqualification is an extraordinary and drastic remedy 11 that should only be resorted to sparingly. I have 12 eight case citations for that starting with Orcana (ph) 13 versus (inaudible) --14 THE COURT: Nobody disputes that. 15 Okay. The inquiry of this MS. HAUSMANN: 16 Court, I believe, should be as follows. Was my work on 17 the bylaws or the loan I made substantially related to the current lawsuit? 18 19 What am I in material indispensable featured 20 witness or a central figure because of the bylaws or 21 the loan who in accordance with Steinberg ought to be 22 called as a witness by Mr. Kennedy. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MS. HAUSMANN: Would my testimony be 25 adverse --

THE COURT: I disagree with that second prong.

Here's the prongs that I see. The first issue is

whether there was an attorney/client relationship

between the former client and counsel, and I think it's

undisputed that you were -- that there was an

attorney/client relationship between the Pompano Senior

Squadron Flying Club and yourself.

MS. HAUSMANN: Not at all times, Your Honor.

MS. HAUSMANN: Not at all times, Your Honor. They were a former client at one point.

THE COURT: Hang on. Stop. That's not what the inquiry is. The inquiry is simple.

Was there an attorney/client relationship between yourself and the Pompano Senior Squadron Flying Club? Not a major one, not a minor one. Was there an attorney/client relationship? There clearly was.

As you've conceded, you got paid even though it was only \$1,750 plus another inconsequential amount. You represented them. Period end. That's not in dispute.

MS. HAUSMANN: Only with --

THE COURT: The second inquiry then is, whether the manner in which the lawyer subsequently represented the interest adverse to the former client, is the same or substantially related to the matter in which it represented the former client?

1 So here, you have a loan. Okay, here you 2 have, you know, getting you represented for whatever 3 you did for them, you know, is -- and you got paid for 4 doing some representation to them. 5 Is that a matter that is either the same or 6 substantially related to the matter in this case? 7 based on the allegations in the Complaint, your name is 8 in there. It's part of the accounting is payments made 9 to Wendy Hausmann. That's one of the allegations in 10 the Complaint. 11 MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, just because they 12 -- that's -- just because they put my name in the 13 Complaint and they want to make me a material witness 14 doesn't make it so. 15 And in fact, the case law again -- I'll cite 16 to Singer Island -- Singer Island Limited, Inc. versus 17 Budget Construction Co., 714 So. 2d 651, 4th DCA 1998. 18 "The rule of acquiring a lawyer to withdraw 19 when he expects to be a witness was not designed to 20 permit a lawyer to call opposing counsel as a witness 21 and thereby disqualify him as counsel." I will also 22 cite to --23 THE COURT: Wait, slow down for one second. 24 MS. HAUSMANN: I'm sorry.

69

So, what was the allegation there?

THE COURT:

Yeah, see he did not represent the other side. This was not a conflict of interest case. There, all the attorney did was write a letter on behalf of the current client, and so he might become a witness because of the letter.

It might be a fact witness. This is not -- again, this is totally -- it's a totally different set of problems when it's a disqualification case --

MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, I have no information.

THE COURT: It's a conflict-of-interest case. It's pretty cut and clear, Ms. Hausmann. I've had these all the time where you represented the opposing side. It's inherently. And again, and this is the language from the cases. There is an irrefutable presumption. Confidences were disclosed during the relationship. Again --

MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor?

THE COURT: The problem is you can't ignore the fact that you at one point were retained by Pompano Senior Squadron Flying Club.

MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, that is not the end of the inquiry. The record has to reflect how I work on the bylaws or how that loan is prejudicial even to the plaintiff, Your Honor. It is not adverse to my

former client.

I had no information to provide other -- and that's why Mr. Holodak only, you know, there was noting to elicit in my deposition because the only information I had would -- it is not adverse to the plaintiff. It is not prejudicial to the plaintiff. It is also not adverse to my client.

It also again, is not substantially related to the accounting. Just because they say the loan is an issue because they want to make it an issue doesn't make it substantially related for the purposes of disqualifying me, Your Honor.

That is a very drastic remedy, and they have made -- there is no showing in the record whatsoever that my loan in any way is substantially related to this accounting.

There was no allegation of any kind that were was anything nefarious about the loan in my having made it. And there was nothing about how on Monday, I gave him a check for \$10,000 and on Tuesday, Mr. Kennedy wrote a check for \$10,000 to Pompano Lexus.

There's nothing about the -- my having made the loan that is in anyway adverse to my former client or my current client. They are not substantially related enough to cause my disqualification; Your Honor

and I have substantial case law on the fact that this is a tactile and vexatious maneuver.

I have cited to Ocara (ph) (inaudible). I have cited to Singer Island. The rule was not intended to permit opposing counsel to call on me as a witness and disqualify me for that basis.

The comment to the rule, 4-1.9 said I shall not use information relating to the representations of the disadvantage of the former client. I have not done that.

There is no record support that any information relating to my representation when I did the bylaws and that was my representation, Your Honor.

I most certainly, ximoutantly, oppose the allegation that they were my client at the time of the loan. That is for the Bar to decide and based on evidence that that claim, and whether or not it is substantially related, should be reflected in the record, Your Honor.

In order for you to -- for them to support their Motion To Disqualify, the have to show -- they have the burden of showing that ought to be called as a witness. They have on behalf of client.

It is not -- the case law does not support them calling me as a witness. That is clear that the

comments say and Buchannan -- the object of Buchannan was that a lawyer should avoid testifying to avoid putting the attorney in the predicament of having to argue credibility of his own testimony.

It was not to permit an attorney to call opposing counsel as witness and thereby disqualifying him. That's in (inaudible) Field Warrant versus Philip Edward PA. It's 574 So. 2d 325, 4th DCA 1991.

It's also cited in Singer Island and it's also cited in Steinberg. Those three cases all say the object of Buchannan, and they had different fact situations. Jacob Buchanan is to preclude an attorney from giving testimony that would be adverse to the client or the former client.

Nobody -- there is no record that any testimony I have is adverse to either party. And also, I have to be a necessary witness on Mr. Kennedy's behalf. They need to establish that I ought to be called as a witness on behalf of my client in accordance with Ray B Stuckey 491 So. 2d 1211. That's a 1st District case, a 1st DCA 1986.

So, both Ray and Steinberg -- Steinberg's a 4th DCA 2013. It cites to Ray and says that in order to be a necessary witness, I am only to be barred from representing him if I am likely to be, one, a necessary

witness. Two, on Mr. Kennedy's behalf.

This was totally done as a tactile and vexatious maneuver, Your Honor. They -- I am in their way. There is nothing substantially related, and Your Honor, which you asked earlier also, they don't want me just disqualified. They want me excluded.

And the case law is uncontroverted without qualification, without exception. The rule specifically says and the case law specifically holds that I -- that a lawyer shall not act as advocate at trial in which the lawyer is likely to be a necessary witness on behalf of the client, quoting Columbo v Puig, 745 So, 2d 1106, Fla. 3rd DCA 1999.

This was quoted in Cerillo v Highley which is a 4th DCA case 2001 at 797 So. 2d 1288. The key words here are "at trial." Therefore, it follows that a lawyer may act as an advocate at pretrial before the start of the trial, and posttrial after the judgement is rendered proceedings. That is also cited in Riddle v Riddle which is a 4th DCA --

THE COURT: You're just making the same arguments over and over. We need to wrap up.

MR. HOLODAK: Judge, may I have an opportunity to speak?

THE COURT: No.

1 MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, so again, the case 2 law's quite clear that even if you disqualify me, I am 3 still permitted specifically to -- there is no contrary 4 law that says I cannot represent him all the way up to 5 trial and after trial. 6 THE COURT: And you have made that arguments 7 as well. All right. Anything further? 8 MR. HOLODAK: Judge, I have a few things. 9 MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, I don't believe 10

their motion was legally sufficient. I don't believe they have met their burdens. I believe there is a paucity in the records to support their motion. only assert an alleged. I believe that you have to deny this motion, Your Honor.

I'm going to grant the motion. THE COURT: Ι think all of the case law and all of the arguments you're making are completely distinguishable. You're far failed.

The basis for the Court disqualifying you is not that you may be a material witness. That's a whole different line of inquiry. The basis for the Court is that you have a conflict of interest.

There's a two-prong test for determining whether disqualification is warranted under that, and it's the Bill Morris USA v ADA Carl (ph) case, 207 So.

75

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3d 944, Florida 4 District Court of Appeals case from 2016.

The first issue is whether there was an attorney/client relationship between the former client, meaning the Pompano Squadron Flying Club and counsel, Ms. Hausmann. Its existence creates an irrefutable presumption that confidences were disclosed during a relationship, et cetera.

And the second inquiry is whether the matter in which the lawyer subsequently represents the interest adverse to the former client is the same or substantially related to the matter in which it represented the former client.

Here again, that's not disputed that you have what's at issue or the accounting which includes the payments, includes the loan. It is the same.

There's no need for an evidentiary hearing, and I do recognize that disqualification is an extraordinary remedy and should only be resorted to sparingly.

That being said, I think the Florida Bar rules are crystal clear, and Ms. Hausmann, I think when you just look at the Bar rules you should not have taken on Mr. Kennedy as a client in this situation.

The Bar rule is clear that when it's what's

1	formerly represented a client, and the matter must not
2	afterwards represent another person in the same or
3	substantially related matter in which that person's
4	interest or material adverse to the interest of the my
5	client unless there's consent, and there's no consent
6	here.
7	I think the case law is crystal clear.
8	There's no factual evidence to be developed here, and
9	so I am granting the Motion To Disqualify.
LO	I think it's probably a good thing for you as
L1	well, Ms. Hausmann, and I'm not sure you certainly
L2	animated about it. I'm not sure what's going on.
L3	Maybe it's because they filed a complaint
L4	against you or what not, but this is a situation where
L5	Mr. Kennedy needs to get another attorney now.
L6	MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, are you saying that
L7	I cannot represent him in pretrial proceedings?
L8	THE COURT: You are disqualified from the
L9	case.
20	MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, I understand that.
21	Are you specifically ruling that I cannot represent him
22	in pretrial proceedings?
23	THE COURT: You are disqualified from you
24	are no longer representing Mr. Kennedy because you
25	represented the plaintiff in this case before and these

1	involve the same matters including substantial legal
2	matters.
3	MS. HAUSMANN: Okay.
4	THE COURT: So, if you want to take it up file
5	your writ, and then Mr. Holodak, you're going to have
6	to your client's going to have to pay the fees? And
7	again, this is what I advised you of. Be careful what
8	you wish for, Mr. Holodak.
9	So, now the case probably gets stayed if she
10	wants to take it up to the 4th but then Ms. Hausmann,
11	you're going to have to certainly advise your client
12	that if you lose in the 4th, and I think the case law
13	is crystal clear on this.
14	I know your argument that the case law is
15	crystal clear in your favor, but you're citing case law
16	that has nothing to do with the issues that are
17	presented before me.
18	MS. HAUSMANN: Your Honor, I believe the law
19	is the law.
20	THE COURT: Just so that Mr. Kennedy is aware
21	that if, you know, he loses on appeal that it may cost
22	him even more attorney's fees. So but it's up to
23	you.
24	I certainly I know you feel strong about it
25	and that's what the 4th is for if you want to take it

```
1
            But that's the Court's ruling.
       up.
 2
                You are disqualified from representing Mr.
 3
       Kennedy and not just at trial but in all matters. You
 4
       all have a great day. Be healthy and safe.
 5
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
                MR. HOLODAK:
                                                        I'11
 6
       prepare the order and submit it to the Court.
7
                THE COURT: Great. We can just cite for the
8
       reasons stated on records.
9
                MR. HOLODAK: And we'll do so, Your Honor.
10
       Thank you. Madam Court Reporter, if you could produce
11
       a copy of the transcript for me, please.
12
                THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Is regular -- no
13
       rushes, right? It's fine, right?
14
                MR. HOLODAK: Regular is fine.
15
                THE COURT REPORTER:
                                      Okay.
16
                THE COURT: I need to get on with the 11:30,
17
       so you can always pick up --
18
                MS. HAUSMANN: I'd like a transcript as well,
19
       Madame Court Reporter.
20
                THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. No problem.
                                                           I'11
21
       send out an email.
22
                MS. HAUSMANN:
                                Thank you.
23
                MR. HOLODAK:
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
24
        (Thereupon, the proceedings end at 11:49 a.m.)
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE		
2	STATE OF FLORIDA		
3	COUNTY OF BROWARD		
4			
5	I, BRENDA SALIBA, being an official		
6	transcriptionist of electronically recorded		
7	proceedings, do hereby certify that the conclusive		
8	represent a true and correct transcription of the		
9	electronically recorded proceedings which took place on		
10	THURSDAY, JULY 8, 2021.		
11	I further certify that I am not an employee or		
12	relative of any party connected with this action, nor		
13	do I have any financial interest in this action.		
14	Such Jelie		
15	Brenda Saliba BRENDA SALIBA, Official Transcriptionist		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	80		

WORD INDEX	207 38:25	< A >	41:7
	210 2:6	a.m 1:15 42:24	against 15:6 40:14
< \$ >	241-1010 1:20	able 4:4, 20	agency 4:23
\$1,750 17:20 18:15	2d 32:17 36:8, 20	about 5:25 6:14 9:3	ago 16:21, 24
31:17	37:13, 15	19:4 23:3 24:24	agree 5:13 22:18
\$10,000 18:6, 15		25:20, 21 26:3 27:1,	agrees 20:3
22:14 23:17 34:20,	< 3 >	11 29:10, 11, 12	ahead 6:15
21	325 36:8	34:18, 19, 22 40:12	Air 13:6, 9
\$1750 17: <i>18</i>	33301 1: <i>19</i>	41:24	All 4:2, 4, 18 5:13,
	33324 2:7	absolutely 16:9, 14	19 6:19, 20, 22 7:9,
< 0 >	33498 2: <i>15</i>	26:2, 17, 22	19, 25 8:9, 20 9:21,
08 1: <i>3</i>	3d 23:14 39:1	access 27:12	24 10:18, 22, 24 13:1
	3rd 37:13	accordance 30:21	14:4 15:4 16:20
<1>		36:20	17:2 18: <i>14</i> 20: <i>1</i>
1 1: <i>I</i> 11: <i>I4</i>	<4>	According 13:6	21:10, 12, 18, 19, 20
10,000 22: <i>15</i>	4 3:4 39:1	accounting 19:9, 10,	25:3 26:8 27:18
11 5:23 16:2, 21, 23,	400 2: <i>14</i>	11, 12 20:11 25:10,	28:18 31:8 33:2, 13
24, 25	4-1.9 14:5 22: <i>1</i> 35:7	23 29:11, 24 32:8	36:10 38:4, 7, 16
11:00 1: <i>15</i> 4: <i>3</i>	43 3:5	34:9, 16 39:15	42:3, 4
11:30 5:23 42:16	491 36:20	accused 24:13	allegation 23:16, 19
11:49 1: <i>15</i> 42: <i>24</i>	4th 23:14 24:2	acquire 14:23	28:24 32:25 34:17
110 1:18	32:17 36:8, 23 37:15,	acquiring 32:18	35:15
1106 37: <i>13</i>	20 41:10, 12, 25	act 28:5 37:10, 17	allegations 11:17
12 19: <i>1</i>		action 43:12, 13	32:7, 9
121 23: <i>13</i>	< 5 >	actual 10:6 26:16	alleged 18:21 38:13
1211 36:20	5 16: <i>13</i>	actually 7:6 11:16,	alleging 26:1
1288 37: <i>15</i>	561) 477-5353 2: <i>16</i>	22 30:5	almost 19:5
1701 1: <i>18</i>	574 36:8	ADA 38:25	alone 16: <i>17</i>
1750 18: <i>3</i>		addition 20:7	already 9: <i>11</i> 10: <i>11</i> ,
17TH 1: <i>1</i>	< 6 >	Additionally 29:19	23 12:10
1986 36:21	622 23: <i>14</i>	admit 25:5, 7	also 10:4 15:20
1991 36:8	651 32: <i>17</i>	admitted 19: <i>14</i>	17:22 19:12 23:14
1992 13:7	6th 1:18 2:6	adopted 17:2	29:4 32:21 34:6, 8
1998 32: <i>17</i>		adverse 14:12 22:7	36:9, 16 37:5, 19
1999 37: <i>13</i>	<7>	23:4 30:25 31:23	always 42:17
1st 13:7 36:21	7 2:14	33:25 34:5, 7, 23	amount 18:3 31:17
	714 32: <i>17</i>	36:13, 16 39:11 40:4	animated 40:12
<2>	745 37: <i>13</i>	advice 20:22 25:15	another 7:4, 21
2 11: <i>15</i> 16: <i>13</i>	7951 2:6	advise 41:11	14:10 15:3 20:5
2001 37:15	797 37:15	advised 41:7	22:5 27:18 31:17
2006 15:20		advocate 28:5 37:10,	40:2, 15
2013 23:14 36:23	< 8 >	17	answer 26:11, 15
2016 39:2	8 1:14 43:10	after 37:18 38:5	answers 19:6
2018 17:6, 13		afterwards 14:9	anticipate 17:10
2019 17:6	<9>	22:5 40:2	anybody 14:16
2020 17:23	944 39: <i>1</i>	again 9:2 10:2 20:6	anything 16:15 17:7,
2021 1:14 43:10	954 1:20	21:19 22:1 23:2, 6	9 19:4 25:20 30:1
20283 2:14	954)927-3436 2:8	24:13 32:15 33:7, 14,	34:18 38:7
20-5993 10: <i>3</i>		17 34:8 38:1 39:14	anyway 19:8 34:23

anywhere 16:10 28:23 **appeal** 41:21 Appeals 39:1 **APPEARANCES** 2:1 10:13 11:4 appearing 10:16 **applies** 12:23 argue 36:4 **argument** 6:2, 3 9:17 10:1 12:20 15:*3* 30:*5*, *9* 41:*14* arguments 37:22 38:6, 16 **Article** 16:*13* 28:25 **asked** 14:17 27:9 37:5 asking 26:8 aspects 8:4 assert 38:13 association 20:15 **attached** 19:17 attorney 7:2, 4, 5, 21 9:7 11:25 13:10, 14, 21 20:20, 21 23:24 24:1, 2 25:14, 15, 16 27:20 28:12 33:3 36:3, 5, 12 40:15 41:22 attorney/client 12:9 31:3, 6, 12, 15 39:4 authorization 25:4 available 29:14 **avoid** 36:2 aware 41:20

back 5:24 15:4 18:7, 24 22:15
Bar 8:23, 25 9:2, 3, 6, 11, 13 14:4 15:4 21:19, 23, 24 26:15 28:3, 4 35:16 39:21, 23, 25
barred 28:1 36:24
based 13:4, 20, 22 23:25 32:7 35:16
basically 25:16
basis 12:2 13:15 35:6 38:19, 21

BEFORE 1:12 5:22 7:20 15:14 22:20 37:17 40:25 41:17 beforehand 14:2 **beginning** 11:1, 4 17:5, 6 26:11 **BEHALF** 2:3, 11 4:15 8:19 10:13, 16, 19, 21 20:14 28:7, 8 33:3 35:23 36:18, 19 37:1, 12 **behind** 14:2 **being** 13:2 24:14 27:22 39:21 43:5 believe 8:24 9:15, 16, 22 12:10 17:18 30:16 38:9, 10, 11, 13 41:18 between 18:19 26:25 27:17 31:4, 6, 13 39:4 big 22:14 **Bill** 38:25 **bit** 4:3 30:3 **Board** 14:16 16:3 17:2 **Boca** 2:15 **body** 11:23 **both** 36:22 **bottom** 9:25 **bound** 21:21, 22 **BRENDA** 43:5, 15 **BROWARD** 1:2 43:3 **Buchanan** 36:12 **Buchannan** 36:1, 11 **Budget** 32:17 **bunch** 11:19 24:20 **burden** 12:15 35:22 **burdens** 12:7 38:11 **bylaw** 16:1 19:14 bylaws 16:7, 10, 16, 21 17:3, 9 19:13 20:10, 13, 23 24:7, 22, 25 25:2, 6, 9, 11, 22, 25 26:1, 3 27:2 28:20, 21 29:2, 10 30:17, 20 33:24

< C > **CACE20005993** 1:3 call 6:20 8:16 23:7 32:20 35:5 36:5 **called** 8:6, 8, 11, 18 13:2 30:22 35:22 36:19 **calling** 8:12 23:9 35:25 cannot 15:5 38:4 40:17, 21 card 24:21 care 11:10 careful 41:7 **CARL** 1:9 10:6, 9, 21 11:6 38:25 **CASE** 1:3 4:3 6:4 7:7 8:5, 13, 20 9:17, 23 12:5, 14 13:6, 9, 22, 25 14:1, 21 15:4 18:*17* 22:*12* 23:*7*, *21* 24:10 27:11, 18, 19 28:15 29:6 30:6, 12 32:6, 15 33:2, 8, 11 35:1, 24 36:21 37:7, 9, 15 38:1, 16, 25 39:1 40:7, 19, 25 41:9, 12, 14, 15 cases 13:1 27:15 33:15 36:10 cause 34:25 central 8:10 26:5 29:6 30:20 **Cerillo** 37:14 certain 6:12 certainly 35:14 40:11 41:11, 24 Certificate 3:5 43:1 **certify** 43:7, 11 cetera 39:8 **changed** 16:25 check 18:23, 24 23:20 34:20, 21 checked 8:22 checks 11:19, 21 24:19, 20 chosen 30:4 CIRCUIT 1:1 citations 30:12

cite 30:6 32:15, 22 42:7 cited 13:1 27:19 35:3, 4 36:9, 10 37:19 **cites** 36:23 **citing** 28:3, 4 41:15 claim 25:10 27:16 35:17 **clear** 6:6 7:8 12:6 33:12 35:25 38:2 39:22, 25 40:7 41:13, 15 clearly 8:21 20:24 31:15 clerk 10:12 11:8, 12 **client** 8:19 14:8, 9, 13, 18, 22, 23 15:2, 6, 24 17:11 18:24 22:1, 3, 8, 9 24:5, 13 25:5, 13, 18 27:20, 21 28:7, 8 31:4, 9, 23, 25 33:4 34:1, 7, 23, 24 35:9, 15, 23 36:14, 19 37:12 39:4, 11, 13, 24 40:1, 5 41:6, 11 **clients** 27:21 **CLUB** 1:6 6:8, 24 7:1 9:15 10:3, 5, 9, *17* 11:6, *19* 12:*16* 13:21 15:12, 13, 25 16:3 17:7, 8, 20 18:5, 19 20:21 21:3 22:4 24:8, 17 25:16, 21 26:2 27:14 31:7, 14 33:21 39:5 **Columbo** 37:12 come 20:12 28:21 comes 20:17 comment 35:7 comments 36:1 communicate 13:25 **complaint** 9:15 10:6 11:5, 14, 17, 23 12:4 16:9, 12, 14 24:24 25:23 32:7, 10, 13 40:13 complete 28:20 completely 29:3

82

35:13

38:17 **complied** 19:*13* **comply** 25:5 **complying** 24:21, 24 **conceded** 31:16 concluded 17:23 conclusive 43:7 **conduct** 25:20 CONFERENCE 1:12 confidence 12:13 confidences 12:13 13:23 33:16 39:7 confidential 27:12, 17 confirm 6:3 **conflict** 9:8, 23 12:24 14:7, 17 22:1 28:11 33:2 38:22 conflict-of-interest 33:11 connected 43:12 connection 15:25 **consent** 14:14, 17, 19 22:9, 10 40:5 consequential 18:1 **consider** 21:*12* considered 17:1 Construction 32:17 consulted 14:16 contact 9:2 **contacted** 25:14, 16 **continue** 12:21 contradict 29:17 contrary 38:3 copy 42:11 **Corporation** 1:6 **Correct** 8:7 10:5, 12, 25 12:4 15:15 22:17 25:17 28:9 43:8 corrected 11:7 cost 6:25 41:21 could 14:2 23:6 42:10 **couldn** 19:4 counsel 4:9 20:23 28:17 30:4 31:4 32:20, 21 35:5 36:6 39:5 **Count** 11:14, 15 19:9 **COUNTY** 1:2 11:15

43:3 **couple** 28:18 **COURT** 1:1, 24 4:2, 5, 8, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24 5:1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 24 6:5 7:18, 25 8:3, 14, 22 9:1, 6, 19, 21, 24 10:2, 8, 13, 18, 22 11:1, 3, 11 12:6, 10 13:3, 4 14:1, 4, 7, 18, 20 15:10, 18 16:19 17:4, 13, 16, 19 18:2, 7, 9, 11, 14 19:5, 8, 25 20:14, 19 21:8, 10, 16, 17, 24 23:11, 21, 24 24:1, 4, 9, 13, 16, 19 25:1, 4, 12, 13 27:18 28:2, 9, 15 29:18, 22 30:1, 6, 14, 16, 23 31:1, 10, 21 32:23, 25 33:11, 19 37:21, 25 38:6, 15, 19, 21 39:1 40:18, 23 41:4, 20 42:1, 6, 7, 10, 12, 15, 16, 19, 20 create 6:21 creates 39:6 credibility 36:4 credit 24:21 crystal 39:22 40:7 41:13, 15 **current** 13:15 15:22 16:8 30:18 33:4 34:24 **cut** 33:12

<D>
damage 13:10, 13
date 13:18

DAVID 1:13
day 5:18, 19 6:5, 13
12:22 19:8 20:6
42:4

DCA 13:7 23:14
32:17 36:8, 21, 23
37:13, 15, 20
deal 6:18 7:3 22:14

December 17:8
decide 35:16

Defendant 1:10 2:11 13:15 **defense** 20:19 defenses 20:18 denv 38:14 deposition 19:3, 5 26:4, 7, 11, 16, 23 27:5, 8 29:8, 16 34:4 designed 32:19 determination 20:5 determine 16:6 determining 38:23 developed 40:8 **dialogue** 10:23 **did** 7:4 9:15 12:16 15:4 16:20 17:2, 4, 5, 7, 13, 14, 16, 23 18:7, 9 24:1, 7 25:5, 20 27:3, 4 28:14, 16, 17, 18 32:3 33:1, 3 35:12 **didn** 4:7 7:14 16:24 17:7, 10 19:20 25:19 26:3 27:7 **different** 24:4 27:22 28:3, 10 33:7 36:11 38:21 directly 26:12 29:17 disadvantage 14:22 35:9 **disagree** 8:1 31:1 disclosed 12:13 13:23 33:16 39:7 discussion 5:25 disingenuous 26:10 **dispute** 6:11 15:22 20:1 21:10 31:19 **disputed** 21:2 39:14 **disputes** 30:14 **Disqualification** 7:24 12:7, 15 13:3, 9, 15, 20 30:8, 10 33:8 34:25 38:24 39:18 disqualified 12:19 16:7 37:6 40:18, 23 42:2 **Disqualify** 4:9 6:6, *15* 7:8 9:*10* 12:*1*

16:22 24:1, 2 30:4

32:21 35:6, 21 38:2 40:9 disqualifying 34:12 36:6 38:19 distinguishable 38:17 **District** 36:21 39:1 **DOCUMENT** 3:15 20:16 documentation 12:11 13:4 18:20, 21 documents 27:13 does 7:4 35:24 doesn 13:17 16:15 22:13 23:7 27:7 32:14 34:10 **doing** 17:10 24:21 25:17 32:4 dollars 23:1 **don** 6:10 9:22 10:5 11:10 13:12 17:24 19:6, 7 21:7 22:18 25:24 29:18, 22, 23 37:5 38:9, 10 **done** 11:4 17:9 35:9 37:2 don't 5:23 down 4:21 5:15 20:12 32:23 **drastic** 30:10 34:13 due 19:15 26:9 **during** 33:16 39:7 < E > earlier 12:21 37:5 **early** 4:3 easier 21:17 **Ed** 4:10 **edited** 19:14 20:14, 16 **EDWARD** 2:4, 5 4:15 10:15 36:8 edward@holodakpa.co **m** 2:9 **eight** 30:12 either 7:21 12:17 15:14 23:5, 17 32:5 36:16 electronically 43:6, 9 elicit 34:4 else 16:10 17:9 20:7

email 19:17 21:1, 3,
12 29:15 42:21
emails 26:25
Empire 1:17 4:24
employee 43:11
end 6:5, 13 17:8
19:8 31:18 33:22
42:24
enough 34:25
especially 9:16
ESQUIRE 2:4, 12
11:21
establish 36:18
et 39:8
even 7:8 9:12 11:22
13:25 18:3 26:3
27:7 31:16 33:24
38:2 41:22
event 23:2
ever 25:13
ever 25: <i>13</i> every 6:22 19:5
everybody 20:2
everything 4:22
10:25 13:18
evidence 6: <i>1</i> 2 18:22 19:23 21:5 35: <i>1</i> 7
19:25 21:3 35:17 40:8
evidentiary 21:11
30:8 39:17
Exactly 15:9, 16
EXAMINATION 3:1
except 14:22 15: <i>1</i>
16: <i>10</i> 26: <i>12</i>
exception 37:8
excluded 37:6
EXHIBITS 3:13
existed 26:14
existence 16:11, 25
23:4 39:6
expects 32:19
exposure 27:13, 16
extra 18:25
extraordinary 30:10
39:19
extreme 30:3
4 TC 5
<f></f>
fact 13:2, 21 20:14

35:1 36:11 factfinder 25:14 **factual** 6:11 40:8 **failed** 38:18 **fairly** 17:25 false 26:18, 21, 22 27:5 far 10:25 16:5 38:18 **favor** 41:15 **featured** 8:10 30:19 **February** 17:23 **fee** 17:21 **feel** 41:24 fees 7:2 18:16 41:6, 22 **few** 38:8 **Field** 36:7 **figure** 8:11 30:20 **file** 9:*15* 41:*4* **filed** 5:15 20:10 40:13 **files** 27:16 financial 27:13 29:13 43:13 **find** 12:23 13:5 **finds** 13:*3* **fine** 4:22 5:17 9:12 11:3 42:13, 14 **first** 8:9 23:22 31:2 39:3 **fix** 11:12 **FL** 1:19 2:7, 15 **Fla** 37:*13* **FLORIDA** 1:2, 6, 25 8:22 9:6 15:4 21:23, 24 26:15 39:1, 21 43:2 **flushed** 26:7 29:7 **FLYING** 1:5 6:7, 24 10:3, 4, 9 11:6, 19 15:12, 13 17:20 18:5 20:21 22:4 24:16 25:16 31:7, 13 33:21 39:5 **followed** 20:10, 12, 23 **following** 18:*16* **follows** 30:16 37:16 formally 14:8 22:2 former 14:13, 22 15:6, 24 22:1, 8 24:5

27:20, 21 31:4, 9, 23, 25 34:1, 23 35:9 36:14 39:4, 11, 13 **formerly** 40:*1* **Fort** 1:19 **four** 6:1 **four-hour** 20:1, 4 **further** 30:1, 3 38:7 43:11 <G> gave 22:14, 15 34:19 **general** 28:17 generally 14:24 28:16 29:14 **get** 5:22 6:23 9:24 10:13 17:14 18:9 19:23, 25 21:5 40:15 42:16 gets 5:16 41:9 **getting** 25:1, 4 32:2 give 8:19 9:17, 18 23:6 27:18 **gives** 14:14 22:9 **giving** 14:14 22:10 36:13 **go** 6:14 16:19 20:3 22:21 goes 7:20 14:20 21:13 **going** 4:20 6:19, 21, 25 7:1 8:8, 11 9:17, 18, 24 11:12 12:25 15:10 19:23, 25 20:2, 11, 16, 24 21:5, 8, 12, 18, 25 23:21 25:5, 7, 12, 13, 18 27:1 38:15 40:12 41:5, 6, 11 **Good** 4:6, 13, 14 5:9, 10, 18 10:20, 22 40:10 got 17:19 19:16 26:25 31:16 32:3 **gotten** 9:1 11:4 grant 30:7 38:15 granted 7:23 granting 40:9 gravamen 30:3 great 42:4, 7

<H> **had** 4:7 10:23 15:13 27:2, 10, 11, 12 33:12 34:2, 5 36:11 **hadn** 17:8 **HAIMES** 1:13 Hang 5:1 7:18 29:22 31:10 happened 27:23 HAUSMANN 2:12, 13 5:7, 9, 12, 17, 22 6:6, 15, 20 7:6, 13, 16, 23 8:7, 8, 15, 24 9:5, 14, 20, 22 10:7, 20, 21, 23 11:21, 22, 24 12:1, *14*, *16*, *19*, *24* 13:7, *21* 14:16, 19 15:11, 18, *19* 16:22, 23 17:5, *15*, 18, 22 18:6, 8, 10, 12, 19, 23, 25 19:3, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22 20:8, 13, 24 21:2, 4, 6, 9, 15, 18, 22 22:18 23:12, 23 24:3, 6, 11, 15, 18, 23 25:2, 7, 19 26:10, 17, 21 27:25 28:8, 14, 16 29:17, 20, 25 30:1, 2, *15*, *24* 31:8, *20* 32:9, 11, 24 33:9, 12, 18, 22 38:1, 9 39:6, 22 40:11, 16, 20 41:3, 10, 18 42:18, 22 hausmannw@aol.com 2:17 **haven** 9:12 16:25 healthy 42:4 **hear** 8:3 **hearing** 20:1, 4 30:8 39:17 **Hey** 20:20 25:14 **Highley** 37:14 hire 7:4 **hired** 5:10 **Hold** 19:20 **holding** 13:8 **holds** 37:9 **HOLODAK** 2:4, 5 4:6, 10, 13, 15 5:14, 21 6:13 7:2, 12, 14 84

22:23 32:15 33:6, 20

8:1, 13 10:11, 15, 18 11:25 12:2, 3 14:6, *15* 15:9, *16* 16:20 18:15, 18 19:11, 20 20:6, 9, 22 21:6, 13 23:7 26:9, 19 27:15 29:15, 19, 21, 24 34:3 37:23 38:8 41:5, 8 42:5, 9, 14, 23 **Honor** 4:6, 10, 25 5:9, 12, 17, 22 7:6, 16 8:20, 24 9:14, 16, 22 10:7, 15, 20 15:9, 19, 25 16:5, 24 17:22 18:6 19:19, 22 21:4, 23 22:18, 21 23:2, 12, 13, 14 24:6, 11, 23 25:21 26:17, 21 27:1, 8, 25 28:14, 21 29:7, 20, 21 30:2, 9 31:8 32:11 33:9, 18, 22, 25 34:12, 25 35:13, 19 37:3, 5 38:1, 9, 14 40:16, 20 41:18 42:5, 9, 23 **HONORABLE** 1:13

HONORABLE 1:13 hours 6:1

< I > **ignore** 33:19 **immediately** 13:8, 19 implying 30:7 **import** 26:2 **important** 27:9 28:21 improperly 23:18 inaccurate 12:22 **Inaudible** 4:25 6:5 7:5 9:19, 21 15:20 23:11 27:24 30:13 35:3 36:7 includes 39:15, 16 including 41:1 inconsequential 18:2, *16* 22:23 31:*17* incorrectly 11:9 **INDEX** 2:1 3:1, 13 indicated 14:1 indispensable 8:10 30:19

individually 1:9 6:9 10:10 **information** 14:21, 24, 25 27:12, 17 29:13 33:10 34:2, 4 35:8, 12 **informed** 14:14 22:9, 10 inherently 33:14 injunction 11:15 20:11 **input** 11:9 **inquire** 25:19 inquiry 16:6 26:24 27:3 30:15 31:11, 21 33:23 38:21 39:9 intended 35:4 interest 14:13 18:9. 11, 13, 15 19:1 22:1, 8, 16 28:11 31:23 33:2 38:22 39:11 40:4 43:13 **Interest/Former** 14:8 interests 14:12 22:7 **interrupt** 7:15 19:21 21:7 investigation 26:14 involve 15:21, 22 41:*1* **involved** 12:5, 18 involves 15:7 irrefutable 13:22 33:15 39:6 irreputable 12:12 Island 32:16 35:4 36:9 **isolated** 24:7 28:19 issue 17:12 18:17 19:14, 16 20:7, 17, 23, 25 21:14, 16, 17 22:11 24:10 25:23 28:10 29:6 31:2 34:10 39:3, 15 **issued** 10:11 issues 12:5, 17 16:21 26:5 41:16 its 23:3 39:6

Jacob 36:12 **January** 17:23 **Judge** 8:1 9:10 12:3, 23 13:16, 17, 19 14:15 15:17 18:18 19:15 20:22 21:1, 13 26:20 29:15, 24 37:23 38:8 judgement 37:18 JUDICIAL 1:1 **JULY** 1:14 43:10 jury 7:20 25:14 < K > keeps 29:25 **Kenn** 13:6, 9 **KENNEDY** 1:9 5:8 6:8, 16, 18 7:3, 21 8:13, 19 10:6, 9, 19, 21 11:6, 18, 24 12:22

13:25 14:2, 19 18:12 19:13, 15 20:9, 17 22:6 23:9, 19 30:22 34:20 36:17 37:1 39:24 40:15, 24 41:20 42:3 **key** 37:15 **kind** 34:17 know 5:23, 24 6:11, 16, 22 8:4, 5, 6 9:7 10:23 11:11, 14 16:5 22:13 27:21 29:25 32:2, 3 34:3 41:14, 21. 24 knowledge 27:10 known 14:24 29:14

<L>
language 33:15
Lauderdale 1:19
Law 2:5, 13 7:7, 11
8:20 9:17 12:5, 23
13:22 30:6 32:15
35:1, 24 37:7, 9 38:2,
4, 16 40:7 41:12, 14,
15, 18, 19
lawsuit 12:18 15:12
16:8, 17 20:8, 17
30:18

lawver 14:8 15:23 22:2 28:6 31:22 32:18, 20 36:2 37:10, 11, 17 39:10 **Legal** 1:17 8:4 9:25 15:21 17:20 18:16 41:*1* **legally** 38:10 **less** 18:3 **letter** 33:3, 5 **Lexus** 34:21 license 9:3 **like** 13:16 17:18 22:20 23:15 30:5, 8, 9 42:18 likely 28:6 36:25 37:11 **limited** 16:1 32:16 line 9:25 38:21 lists 28:25 litigant 7:3 little 4:2 27:9 loan 17:8, 11 18:4, 15, 21 19:4, 10 20:7 22:11, 14 23:2, 3, 17 24:8, 9 26:14 29:4 30:17, 21 32:1 33:24 34:9, 15, 18, 23 35:16 39:16 long 5:25 longer 40:24 **Look** 9:10 10:6 23:21 39:23 looking 8:5 lose 41:12 loses 41:21 **lot** 10:23 21:17 24:4

<M>
Madam 42:10

Madame 42:19

made 12:20 16:1, 16

17:11 18:4 23:17

24:9 30:17 32:8

34:14, 18, 22 38:6

major 31:14

making 27:4 37:21

38:17

manager 23:25

85

< J >

maneuver 35:2 37:3
manner 22:4 31:22
many 6:14
Maria 11: <i>11</i>
material 8:9 20:25
21: <i>14</i> 30: <i>19</i> 32: <i>13</i>
38:20 40:4
materially 14:12
22:7
matter 14:9, 11 15:7,
22 16:2 22:6, 12, 17,
19 31:24 32:5, 6
39:9, 12 40:1, 3
matters 6:12 15:13,
15, 19 41:1, 2 42:3
maybe 17:6 40:13
mean 6:10 7:14
25:24
meaning 22:3 39:5
measure 9:16
MEJIA 1:24 10:24
member 19: <i>18</i> 21: <i>3</i> mention 25: <i>13</i>
mentioned 25:2
met 38:11
MICHELLE 1:24
might 20:19 33:4, 6
minimum 8:6
minor 31: <i>14</i>
minute 8:3
Monday 34:19
money 7:1 17:19
22:22, 24
monies 18:25
more 6:21 7:1, 2
17: <i>10</i> 19: <i>1</i> 29:8
41:22
morning 4:6, 14 5:9,
10 10:20, 22
Morris 38:25
most 35:14
Motion 4:8, 11 5:15
7:23 9:10 12:1 30:7
35:21 38:10, 12, 14,
15 40:9
move 13:16
moving 11:25 12:6
16:22 30:4
Ì

```
< N >
name 32:7, 12
named 11:22
necessary 8:9 28:6
30:6 36:17, 24, 25
37:11
need 6:12 16:6
29:18, 22, 23 36:18
37:22 39:17 42:16
needs 40:15
nefarious 34:18
never 14:16 17:1
23:15 28:17
new 16:3 17:2 27:21
nice 5:19
NO 1:3 4:18, 19 5:1
7:10 12:24 16:12
17:22 18:19, 20, 21
19:2 20:23 22:18
23:19 24:23 25:7, 10,
19, 24, 25 26:2, 6
27:2 28:22, 24 29:1
33:9 34:2, 14, 17
35:11 36:15 37:25
38:3 39:17 40:5, 8,
24 42:12, 20
Nobody 30:14 36:15
NONE 3:16
nor 43:12
not 7:6, 20 8:9, 13,
15, 16 9:20 11:10
14:9, 10, 15 16:23
17:11, 12 19:16, 25
20:1, 9, 12 21:6, 8, 11,
14 22:4, 10, 14, 25
23:8 24:7, 10, 21, 24
25:1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 13, 15,
18, 21 26:7, 11, 13
27:3, 10, 11, 12 28:2,
5, 9, 14, 16, 17 29:3, 4,
6, 13 31:8, 10, 14, 18
32:19 33:1, 2, 6, 22,
25 34:5, 6, 8, 24 35:4,
8, 9, 17, 24 36:5
37:10 38:20 39:14,
23 40:1, 11, 12, 14
42:3 43:11
NOTARY 1:25
note 11:11, 12 18:19
```

```
nothing 16:9, 14
22:23 23:3, 16 24:24
25:8, 22 27:19 29:25
34:19, 22 37:4 41:16
noting 34:3
now 6:8 13:10, 15
15:12, 14 16:2 20:13
21:12 27:20, 21
40:15 41:9
NUMBER 3:15
26:19
numbers 6:23
< 0 >
object 8:15 21:9
36:1, 11
obtained 29:12
obviously 20:5
Ocara 35:3
office 11:8
Offices 2:5
official 43:5. 15
Okay 4:8, 21 5:6
11:2, 5 14:20 17:13
18:2 21:18 23:23
24:4 28:13 29:24
30:15, 23 32:1 41:3
42:12, 15, 20
old 16:2
Once 12:9, 18
one 5:1, 14, 15 6:6
7:4 11:20 12:8 16:7
19:6, 9 21:25 26:19
28:4, 10 31:9, 14
32:9, 23 33:20 36:25
opportunity 37:23
oppose 35:14
opposed 12:20 26:7
opposing 8:16 28:12
32:20 33:13 35:5
36:6
Orcana 30:12
order 10:12 14:3, 11
27:7 35:20 36:23
42:6
other 6:22 23:3
26:16 33:1 34:2
others 11:21
```

otherwise 29:14

```
ought 8:18 30:21
35:22 36:18
our 4:5, 11 15:5, 16
out 13:7, 10, 19
23:15 26:7 29:8
42:21
over 16:4 37:22
own 8:19 36:4
< P >
P.A 2:5
PA 36:8
PAGE 3:3, 15
paid 17:14, 24 18:12
22:22 23:1 31:16
32:3
Pardon 7:14
part 11:17 19:9, 11,
12 20:10, 11, 19
22:11 32:8
participating 7:17
parties 27:17 30:4
party 8:16 12:6
23:5 28:12 36:16
43:12
paucity 38:12
pay 7:2 17:16 18:7
41:6
paying 6:8
payments 18:17
32:8 39:16
people 6:22
percent 18:13 19:1
performed 15:24
Period 31:18
permit 8:16 14:23
15:1 23:7 32:20
35:5 36:5
permitted 7:9 26:8
38:3
person 14:10, 12
22:5, 7 27:23 40:2, 3
personal 24:19 27:17
pertaining 15:12
pertains 8:12, 17
ph 5:2, 3 30:12
35:3 38:25
Philip 36:7
phone 6:19
                   86
```

26:13, 16

pick 5:16 6:19
42:17
place 43:9
Plaintiff 1:7 2:3 4:8
10:14, 16 11:18
15:11 17:16, 20
25:10 28:18 29:8
33:25 34:5, 6 40:25
Plantation 2:7
plantiff 4:9
please 21:6 42:11
Plus 18:2, 16 31:17
point 6:7 7:4 12:14
15: <i>16</i> 23: <i>15</i> 31:9 33:20
POMPANO 1:5 4:3
6:7, 23 10:3, 4, 8, 16
11:5 18:4 22:3
24:16 31:6, 13 33:20
34:21 39:5
portion 12:23
position 8:2
position 6.2 possibly 27:23
posttrial 7:10 37:18
potential 13:10, 13
14:17
preclude 36:12
predicament 36:3
prejudicial 33:24
34:6
prepare 42:6
presented 41:17
presumption 12:12
13:23 33:16 39:7
pretrial 7:9 37:17
40:17, 22
pretty 33:12
previously 12:16
prima 28: <i>15</i>
prior 11:18 13:14
pro 7:3, 7
probably 40:10 41:9
problem 27:2 33:19
42:20
problems 33:8 PROCEEDING 1:1
PROCEEDING 1:1 Proceedings 3:4
7:10 13:11, 14 37:19
40:17, 22 42:24 43:7,
+0.17, 22 42.24 43.7,

9 **produce** 29:16 42:10 produced 27:5 producing 26:23 projects 28:19 promissory 18:19 26:13 **prong** 31:1 **prongs** 31:2 **properly** 20:10, 12 **proposed** 16:16 26:1 29:2 protect 23:9 protection 21:20 provide 34:2 **provision** 13:8 16:12 25:11 28:25 **PUBLIC** 1:25 16:2 17:3 **Puig** 37:13 purposes 34:11 **put** 11:9, 11, 12 32:12 **putting** 36:3

<Q>qualification 7:10 37:8 qualify 22:20 question 4:13 questions 7:19 11:20 26:12, 16 29:10, 11, 12 quite 30:2 38:2 quoted 37:14 quoting 37:12

< R >
Raton 2:15
Ray 36:20, 22, 23
read 5:4 21:18, 25
realize 4:7
really 6:11, 17 24:8
reason 11:8
reasons 42:8
recall 17:25
recalls 5:24
received 23:17
recitation 28:22

recognize 39:18 recognized 12:10 **record** 10:1, 24 11:16 16:3, 15 23:4, 16 25:9 26:6, 22 33:23 34:14 35:11, 19 36:15 **recorded** 43:6, 9 records 29:13 38:12 42:8 recuse 13:16 **reference** 14:21 15:4 28:22 **refers** 16:10 **reflect** 11:16 22:25 33:23 reflected 35:18 **regarding** 14:1, 17 regular 42:12, 14 Regulated 21:24 **related** 12:17 13:2 14:11 15:7, 15, 20 16:8, 17 22:6, 17, 20 26:6 29:4, 5 30:17 31:24 32:6 34:8, 11, 15, 25 35:18 37:4 39:12 40:3 **relates** 26:12 **relating** 14:21, 25 35:8, 12 relationship 12:9 29:1 31:3, 6, 12, 15 33:17 39:4, 8 relative 43:12 release 5:13 **relying** 20:20 remainder 13:24 remedy 30:10 34:13 39:19 remember 19:4, 6, 7 rendered 37:19 **REPORTED** 1:23 **REPORTER** 1:24 4:5, 11, 12, 14, 17, 19, 22, 24 5:16 10:2 11:1 42:10, 12, 15, 19, 20 **Reporting** 1:17 represent 5:8 6:9

7:9, 22 12:21 13:14

14:10, 18 15:6 22:5 24:8 25:12 28:16, 17 33:1 38:4 40:2, 17, 21 43:8 representation 15:1 24:7 32:4 35:12, 13 representations 35:8 represented 6:7 14:9 15:11, 13 18:25 20:13 21:15 24:5 27:20 28:12 31:18, *23*, *25* 32:2 33:*13* 39:13 40:1, 25 representing 6:16 9:23 14:19 22:3, 11 27:21 29:17 36:25 40:24 42:2 represents 39:10 requested 6:1 require 15:2 resolve 4:4 resorted 30:11 39:19 respect 14:23 15:2 19:15 20:8 21:1 26:9 respectfully 8:1 **Response** 15:18 restrict 27:3 restricted 26:24 retained 11:24 33:20 **reveal** 14:25 reversed 24:2 **review** 16:21 reviewed 16:1 revisions 17:1, 24 26:2, 3 **Riddle** 37:19, 20 **right** 4:2, 18 5:2, 13, 16 6:8 7:19, 22, 25 8:14 9:4, 21, 25 10:18, 22 14:4, 6 18:14 20:2 21:10, 12, 18, 19, 20 24:2, 5, 20 27:18 38:7 42:13 risky 9:21 **Road** 2:14 **rule** 8:12, 15, 16, 17 14:4, 5, 20 15:21 21:19, 25 23:8 27:25

28:3, 4, 9, 10 32:18 35:4, 7 37:8 39:25 rules 14:23 15:1, 5 21:23, 25 39:21, 23 ruling 9:1 40:21 42:1 run 9:11, 12 rushes 42:13
<s></s>
safe 42:4
SALIBA 43:5, 15
Salter 5:2
same 12:17 14:11
15:7, <i>14</i> , <i>21</i> 16: <i>18</i>
21:15 22:6, 12, 16
24:10 28:1, 2 31:24
32:5 37:21 39:11, 16
40:2 41:1
saying 7:19 15:8
19:2 20:6 22:13
25:8 29:25 40:16
says 8:20 13:9, 12
16:13 21:25 25:11
26:24 27:1 28:1 36:23 37:9 38:4
scenario 27:23
scenes 14:2
screen 29:16
SE 1:18 7:3, 7
second 5:1 12:14
31:1, 21 32:23 39:9
Section 16:13
see 14:25 20:3
24:11 31:2 33:1
seeing 4:11
SEITER 4:25 5:3, 4,
6, 11, 18, 19
send 29:15 42:21
SENIOR 1:5 4:3
6:7, 23 10:3, 4, 8, 16
11:5 18:4 22:4 31:6,
13 33:21
September 5:24 set 5:14, 23, 25 33:7
several 6:1, 23
should 11:3, 9, 15
16:6 26:7, 23 27:5
28:5 30:11, 16 35:18
36:2 39:19, 23

show 12:8, 15 16:15
35:21
showing 18:20, 21
34:14 35:22
shown 12:9 23:4
side 33:1, 14
significance 27:10
0
simple 31:11
simply 18:23
since 11:1 21:11
Singer 32:16 35:4
36:9
sir 10: <i>11</i>
sit 13:18, 24
situation 39:24 40:14
situations 9:9 36:12
slow 32:23
some 17:5, 23 22:16
32:4
somebody 9:9
sorry 4:19 5:4 7:13
16:2 <i>3</i> 19: <i>15</i> , 22
32:24
sparingly 30:11
39:20
speak 37:24
speaking 7:16
specific 25:25 28:18
specifically 28:22
37:9 38:3 40:21
spoke 23:24
SQUADRON 1:5
4:3 6:7, 23 7:1 10:3,
<i>4</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>17</i> 11:6 15: <i>11</i>
17:20 18:5 22:3, 4
24:14, 16 31:7, 13
33:21 39:5
start 10:1 20:2
37:18
started 5:23
starting 30:12
STATE 1:25 2:14
43:2
stated 42:8
statements 27:4
statements 27.4 stay 9:9
stayed 9:7 41:9
Ste 2:14
SIC 2.14

```
Steinberg 8:20 23:7,
12, 13 24:12 28:1
30:21 36:10, 22
step 9:12 16:19, 20
still 4:2 7:9, 17
12:21 38:3
stipulated 26:10
Stop 31:10
store 23:24
Stores 23:13
Street 1:18 2:6
strong 41:24
Stuckey 36:20
stuff 20:1
style 10:5, 12 11:13
styled 10:8 14:7
submit 19:5 42:6
submitted 12:6 13:4,
subsequent 13:11, 13
subsequently 31:22
39:10
substantial 29:1
35:1 41:1
substantially 12:17
14:11 15:7, 14, 20
16:8, 17 21:15 22:6,
17, 19, 20 26:5 29:4,
5 30:17 31:24 32:6
34:8, 11, 15, 24 35:18
37:4 39:12 40:3
suddenly 19:4
sufficient 38:10
suggestions 16:1, 16
25:22 29:2
Suite 1:18 2:6
summary 12:4
support 26:6 35:11,
20, 24 38:12
supported 12:11
supports 12:11
supposed 21:20
sure 25:17 40:11, 12
SW 2:6
<T>
tactile 9:15 35:2
37:2
```

```
take 4:11, 20 5:10
6:12 10:24 17:3
18:3 41:4, 10, 25
taken 9:12 11:10
39:23
taking 6:2 10:25
24:14
talk 14:2
talked 6:14 27:11
talking 22:24 25:21
telling 6:25
ten 18:12 19:1
terms 18:20 19:2
test 38:23
testifying 36:2
testimony 23:6
30:24 36:4, 13, 16
Thank 5:17, 20, 21
12:3 42:5, 10, 22, 23
thereby 32:21 36:6
thing 16:18 40:10
things 24:21 38:8
think 5:7, 16 6:6, 10
8:4, 5 10:5 13:3, 12
17:6 31:4 38:16
39:21, 22 40:7, 10
41:12
thinks 27:4
though 31:16
thousands 22:25
three 36:10
through 13:24
THURSDAY 43:10
tie 25:9, 24
time 6:22 17:10, 11
28:23 33:13 35:15
times 6:14 28:18
31:8
titling 28:2
today 6:3 9:17, 18
21:11
totally 26:10 27:22
28:3 33:7 37:2
transaction 15:21
TRANSCRIPT 1:1
27:6, 8 42:11, 18
Transcription 3:5
43:8
transcriptionist 43:6,
15
                   88
```

treasurer 11:18
16:13 24:14
trial 7:20 12:22, 25
13:12, 17, 18, 19 28:5
37:11, 16, 18 38:5
42:3
true 43:8
trying 6:8
Tuesday 34:20
two 4:7 12:7 26:20
27:17 37:1
two-prong 38:23
type 15:23
Typically 9:2

< U > uncontroverted 37:7 **under** 26:14 28:10 38:24 understand 21:22 22:24 25:24 40:20 **understanding** 9:8 undisputed 31:5 unless 7:3 9:7 14:13 22:8 40:5 unnecessary 8:18 unofficially 6:20 unrelated 29:3 **up** 6:19 12:22 13:18 37:22 38:4 41:4, 10, 22 42:1, 17 **USA** 38:25 Use 14:21 35:8 usually 9:8 **utterly** 27:5

versus 10:4, 9 11:6

30:13 32:16 36:7

very 7:8 8:21 16:1

34:13

vexatious 35:2 37:3

VIDEO 1:12 4:18

violated 28:24

violation 14:3 25:25

vs 1:8

< W > Wait 32:23

want 6:17, 18 9:6 11:11 32:13 34:10 37:5, 6 41:4, 25 wanted 6:3 22:25 24:1 wants 9:9 41:10 Warrant 36:7 warranted 38:24 wasn 22:22 29:9, 12 wav 8:23 16:16 30:7 34:15 37:4 38:4 **We** 4:2, 7, 10 5:22, 23 6:13, 23 9:24 10:2, 23 11:5, 6 12:5, 11, 18 13:4, 6 14:15 16:19 19:3, 23, 25 20:2, 3 21:11 25:21 29:15, 16 37:22 42:7, website 16:3 **well** 6:14 9:3 13:17 19:8 38:7 40:*11* 42:18 **WENDY** 2:12 10:21 11:21 32:9 weren 29:10, 11 whatsoever 34:14 **Winn-Dixie** 23:*13* wish 41:8 withdraw 32:18 witness 8:6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 17, 18 12:25 13:2 20:25 23:8, 10, 25 27:22, 24 28:6, 7 30:20, 22 32:13, 19, 20 33:4, 6 35:5, 23, 25 36:6, 17, 19, 24 37:1, 12 38:20 witnesses 6:1 words 37:15 work 6:21 12:16 15:23 16:7 17:9, 10, *13* 21:*14* 25:9 28:20 30:16 33:23 **working** 16:*11* **worry** 9:3

wouldn 7:7 26:15

wrap 37:22

writ 41:5 write 33:3 writing 24:19, 20 written 11:21 wrote 11:19 23:20 34:21 www.EmpireReporters .com 1:21

<X> ximoutantly 35:14

< Y > Yeah 6:14 11:3 33:1 years 16:2, 21, 23, 24, 25
Yes 4:17, 22 5:9, 12
7:24 9:5 10:11 11:1 15:19 17:15 18:8, 10
20:22 23:12, 13 24:3, 15, 18 26:9 30:2 you-all 6:25

<Z> ZOOM 1:12

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CACE20005993 DIVISION 08 JUDGE David A Haimes

Pompano Senior Squadron Flying Club, Inc.

Plaintiff(s) / Petitioner(s)

v.

Carl L Kennedy II

Defendant(s) / Respondent(s)

ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S VERIFIED MOTION TO DISQUALIFY WENDY HAUSMANN ESQ. AS DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL

THIS CAUSE having come before the Court for hearing on July 8, 2021 on Plaintiff's, POMPANO SENIOR SQUADRON FLYING CLUB, INC. (Plaintiff "Club") Verified Motion to Disqualify Counsel Pursuant to Florida Bar Rule 4–1.9, and the Court having considered arguments of counsel, the Verified Motion, the uncontested facts, and after due consideration, it is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff Club's Motion for Disqualification is hereby **GRANTED** for the reasons stated on the record (Court Reporter present) at the July 8, 2021 hearing and for the reasons further articulated as follows.

It is undisputed that Wendy Hausmann, Esq., had previously been retained as counsel by Plaintiff Club, where she had made recommended edits to plaintiff's Bylaws. Ms. Hausmann was paid by Plaintiff Club for such work. It is also undisputed that Wendy Hausmann, Esq., had made a Ten Thousand Dollar (\$10,000) loan to Plaintiff Club and was paid back with interest on that loan by plaintiff. Finally, the Complaint in the present case seeks an accounting from and an injunction against Defendant Kennedy for the time he was the treasurer of Plaintiff Club to account for expenditures and return financial documents to Plaintiff Club. The accounting includes payments made by Plaintiff Club to Ms. Hausmann for her services and for the loan. Therefore, the present case involves the same matter (or at a minimum substantially similar matters).

CaseNo: CACE20005993

Page 2 of 2

Pursuant to Florida Bar Rule 4–1.9, Ms. Hausmann is precluded from representing the Defendant in the present case against her former client due to a conflict of interest absent consent by the plaintiff. Here, the Plaintiff Club never consented to, and in fact objected to, Wendy Hausmann's representation of Defendant Kennedy in this matter. Pursuant to well established Florida law, the Plaintiff Club has established the requirements for disqualification. See *Philip Morris USA*, *Inc. v. Caro*, 207 So.3d 944 (Fla. 4th DCA 2016) (setting forth the requirements).

Therefore, Wendy Hausmann, Esq. is hereby disqualified as acting in any capacity in this matter as Defendant Kennedy's counsel as of the date of this Order.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Chambers, at Broward County, Florida on <u>07-09-2021</u>.

CACE20095993 07-99-7821 9-21 AM

CACE20005993 07-09-2021 9:21 AM

Hon. David A Haimes

CIRCUIT JUDGE

Electronically Signed by David A Haimes

Copies Furnished To:

Carl Lemley Kennedy II, E-mail: <u>CLKTax@aol.com</u> Carl Lemley Kennedy II, E-mail: <u>mail@goard.com</u>

Edward F Holodak , E-mail : pleadings@holodakpa.com
Edward F Holodak , E-mail : edward@holodakpa.com
Jeffrey B Lampert , E-mail : lampertpleadings@gmail.com

WENDY A HAUSMANN, E-mail: whausmannlaw@gmail.com WENDY A HAUSMANN, E-mail: HAUSMANNW@AOL.COM